

# PARLIAMENTARY DEBATES

HOUSE OF COMMONS  
OFFICIAL REPORT  
GENERAL COMMITTEES

## Public Bill Committee

### DATA PROTECTION BILL [*LORDS*]

*Seventh Sitting*

*Thursday 22 March 2018*

*(Morning)*

---

#### CONTENTS

SCHEDULE 17 agreed to, with amendments.  
CLAUSES 182 TO 204 agreed to, some with amendments.  
SCHEDULE 18 agreed to, with amendments.  
CLAUSES 205 TO 208 agreed to, some with amendments.  
New clauses considered.  
Adjourned till this day at Two o'clock.

---

No proofs can be supplied. Corrections that Members suggest for the final version of the report should be clearly marked in a copy of the report—not telephoned—and must be received in the Editor’s Room, House of Commons,

**not later than**

**Monday 26 March 2018**

© Parliamentary Copyright House of Commons 2018

*This publication may be reproduced under the terms of the Open Parliament licence, which is published at [www.parliament.uk/site-information/copyright/](http://www.parliament.uk/site-information/copyright/).*

**The Committee consisted of the following Members:**

*Chairs:* DAVID HANSON, †MR GARY STREETER

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| † Adams, Nigel ( <i>Lord Commissioner of Her Majesty's Treasury</i> )                          | † Jones, Darren ( <i>Bristol North West</i> ) (Lab)                                |
| † Atkins, Victoria ( <i>Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State for the Home Department</i> )   | † Lopez, Julia ( <i>Hornchurch and Upminster</i> ) (Con)                           |
| † Byrne, Liam ( <i>Birmingham, Hodge Hill</i> ) (Lab)  | † McDonald, Stuart C. ( <i>Cumbernauld, Kilsyth and Kirkintilloch East</i> ) (SNP) |
| † Clark, Colin ( <i>Gordon</i> ) (Con)   | Murray, Ian ( <i>Edinburgh South</i> ) (Lab)                                       |
| † Elmore, Chris ( <i>Ogmore</i> ) (Lab)  | † O'Hara, Brendan ( <i>Argyll and Bute</i> ) (SNP)                                 |
| † Haigh, Louise ( <i>Sheffield, Heeley</i> ) (Lab)   | † Snell, Gareth ( <i>Stoke-on-Trent Central</i> ) (Lab/Co-op)                      |
| † Heaton-Jones, Peter ( <i>North Devon</i> ) (Con)   | † Warman, Matt ( <i>Boston and Skegness</i> ) (Con)                                |
| † Huddleston, Nigel ( <i>Mid Worcestershire</i> ) (Con)  | † Wood, Mike ( <i>Dudley South</i> ) (Con)   |
| † Jack, Mr Alister ( <i>Dumfries and Galloway</i> ) (Con)                                      | † Zeichner, Daniel ( <i>Cambridge</i> ) (Lab)                                      |
| † James, Margot ( <i>Minister of State, Department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport</i> ) | Kenneth Fox, <i>Committee Clerk</i>  |
|  | † <b>attended the Committee</b>  |

## Public Bill Committee

Thursday 22 March 2018

(Morning)

[MR GARY STREETER *in the Chair*]

### Data Protection Bill [Lords]

#### Schedule 17

##### RELEVANT RECORDS

11.30 am

**The Minister of State, Department for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport (Margot James):** I beg to move amendment 127 in schedule 17, page 206, line 15, leave out paragraph (a) and insert—

“(a) a relevant health record (see paragraph 1A),”.

*This amendment, with Amendment 128, limits the types of health records (defined in Clause 198) which count as “relevant records” for the purposes of Clause 181 (prohibition of requirement to produce relevant records) to those obtained by a data subject in the exercise of a data subject access right (defined in paragraph 4 of Schedule 17).*

**The Chair:** With this it will be convenient to discuss Government amendments 128 and 181.

**Margot James:** A subject access request gives individuals the right to ask for all the personal information that an organisation holds about them. That is a powerful right, designed to ensure that individuals may access information held about them within a specified time and, as such, it needs to be protected. The Bill provides such protection by making it an offence to require someone to exercise the right as a condition of employment, a contract or the provision of a service or goods. That is set out in clause 181 and schedule 17 and is intended to substantively replicate and in places build on the comparable provision in section 56 of the Data Protection Act 1998.

Amendments 127 and 128 insert a definition of a “relevant health record” for the purposes of clause 181, to ensure that the scope is consistent with that of other types of “relevant record” set out in schedule 17. Amendment 181 is technical in nature and simply updates a reference to a piece of legislation in Northern Ireland to reflect the fact that the legislation has been replaced.

**Liam Byrne** (Birmingham, Hodge Hill) (Lab): I thank the Minister for that explanation. She is absolutely right to say that subject access requests are extremely powerful in how they operate. It is therefore such a shame that they are not a right or a power that the Government will see fit to extend to newcomers to this country, who will seek to use and have in the past sought to use subject access requests to access important information about their immigration status and history, and the decision-making processes in the Home Office and UK Border Agency about their immigration status. I am sure that we will come back to this debate on Report, and I hope that it is something that the Minister will reflect on.

*Amendment 127 agreed to.*

*Amendments made:* 128 in schedule 17, page 206, line 21, at end insert—

“*Relevant health records*

1A ‘Relevant health record’ means a health record which has been or is to be obtained by a data subject in the exercise of a data subject access right.”.

*See the explanatory statement for Amendment 127.*

Amendment 181 in schedule 17, page 207, line 22, leave out sub-paragraph (iii) and insert—

“(iii) Article 45 of the Criminal Justice (Children) (Northern Ireland) Order 1998 (S.I. 1998/1504 (N.I. 9));”—(*Margot James.*)

*In a list of functions of the Secretary of State in relation to people sentenced to detention, this amendment removes a reference to section 73 of the Children and Young Persons Act 1968 (which has been repealed) and inserts a reference to Article 45 of the Criminal Justice (Children) (Northern Ireland) Order 1998 (which replaced it).*

*Schedule 17, as amended, agreed to.*

*Clause 182 ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

### Clause 183

#### REPRESENTATION OF DATA SUBJECTS

*Amendments made:* 63, in clause 183, page 105, line 42, leave out “80” and insert “80(1)”.

*This amendment changes a reference to Article 80 of the GDPR into a reference to Article 80(1) and is consequential on NC2.*

Amendment 64, in clause 183, page 105, line 44, leave out “certain rights” and insert “the data subject’s rights under Articles 77, 78 and 79 of the GDPR (rights to lodge complaints and to an effective judicial remedy)”.

*In words summarising Article 80(1) of the GDPR, this amendment adds information about the rights of data subjects that may be exercised by representative bodies under that provision.*

Amendment 65, in clause 183, page 106, line 7, leave out “under the following provisions” and insert “of a data subject”.

*This amendment and Amendments 66, 67 and 68 tidy up Clause 183(2).*

Amendment 66, in clause 183, page 106, line 9, at beginning insert “rights under”.

*See the explanatory statement for Amendment 65.*

Amendment 67, in clause 183, page 106, line 10, at beginning insert “rights under”.

*See the explanatory statement for Amendment 65.*

Amendment 68, in clause 183, page 106, line 11, at beginning insert “rights under”.—(*Margot James.*)

*See the explanatory statement for Amendment 65.*

*Clause 183, as amended, ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

### Clause 184

#### DATA SUBJECT’S RIGHTS AND OTHER PROHIBITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS

*Amendment made:* 69, in clause 184, page 106, line 41, leave out “(including as applied by Chapter 3 of that Part)”.—(*Margot James.*)

*This amendment is consequential on Amendment 4.*

*Clause 184, as amended, ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

*Ordered,*

*That clause 184 be transferred to the end of line 39 on page 105.—(Margot James.)*

**Clause 185**

## FRAMEWORK FOR DATA PROCESSING BY GOVERNMENT

*Question proposed,* That the clause stand part of the Bill.

**Liam Byrne:** I seek a bit of reflection and clarification from the Minister on this point. Clause 185 touches on the way in which the data processing regime operates for Her Majesty's Government. Within Her Majesty's Government, there are three very significant Departments that employ tens of thousands of people and process millions of bits of data every year. The three big data-processing parts of Her Majesty's Government are the Department for Work and Pensions, Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs and the Ministry of Defence. Very often, the formal data controller is the person who sits at the top of the office. Sometimes it is someone who has a relationship with the accounting officer at the top of the Department. The challenge that that creates for people who seek to exercise their data rights under this Bill is that subject access requests or other requests go into the Department, and it takes for ever to get a response. That is not a reflection on the quality of the civil servants who run the Departments; it is simply that they are sitting on top of millions of records—potentially hundreds of millions of bits of data—and the records may be held or processed by thousands of people operating at the frontline of a particular business.

The way we get around that problem in the national health service, which is probably the biggest Government data processor in the country, is that the data processor is often nominated at the trust level. The data controller may be a clinical commissioning group or an NHS hospital trust. The big Departments—the DWP, the MOD and HMRC—do not operate that strategy. It would be useful to know whether the Government, in the codes of practice that they issue to Departments, will persist with the practice of nominating data controllers at the very top, so that there will be a single data controller in a very large Department with ultimate responsibility for enforcing the Bill right the way through some of the biggest and most complex organisations on earth.

The Minister will know, having long been in her role, that all kinds of problems arise, particularly in the DWP, when information is sought, for example, for tribunal cases. If someone is bringing a tribunal case or wants to contest something about benefits, sometimes the fastest way to do that is to file a subject access request just to get in one place how HMRC or the DWP did the calculations. Like the rest of us, the Minister will have had surgery cases along those lines. The first thing to do is to try to create a single picture of how the Department came to the decisions it made, which have a material impact on our benefits, health and wellbeing.

If the only way to assemble that full picture is to file a subject access request right the way up the chain to a civil servant at the top of the organisation, that is a very slow and fraught process. I invite the Minister to say a bit more about how she will reflect on a very different strategy for appointing and managing data controllers in the NHS, compared with the strategy that currently pertains in those three big administrative parts of Her Majesty's Government.

**Margot James:** The right hon. Gentleman makes a very good point. It might help if I say a little about the framework that the Secretary of State has to issue, as directed by clause 185, about the processing of personal data in connection with the exercise of functions within Government. Before the framework is issued, it has to be subject to parliamentary scrutiny. Some of these practical issues can be explored at that point. The framework will provide guidance to Departments on all aspects of their data processing. The content is being developed and we will definitely take into account the right hon. Gentleman's concerns.

*Question put and agreed to.*

*Clause 185 accordingly ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

**Clause 186**

## APPROVAL OF THE FRAMEWORK

*Question proposed,* That the clause stand part of the Bill.

**Liam Byrne:** I am grateful to the Minister for taking those points on board. I suppose it begs the question of when she thinks we might see this framework. The process set out in the clause is a wise and practical course of action. We all have constituency experience that could have a bearing on how this piece of guidance is drafted and presented. We have the luxury of serving our constituents week in, week out. That is not a privilege that the civil servants who are asked to draft these frameworks enjoy.

It is important that the Minister goes through a good process, which allows her not to present the House with a fait accompli or something for an up and down motion. That will not be in any of our interests. My concern is how we practically operationalise this in a way that allows us continually to strengthen and improve the service that we provide to our constituents. It is very hard for us to do that if we have a data management regime operationalised by Her Majesty's Government that gets in the way.

When does the Minister expect to issue this framework? How will she ensure that there is a period of soft consultation with, perhaps, the Speaker's Committee here in the House so that we are not presented with a final draft of a document that we have 40 days to consider, moan about and make representations about, all of which will then basically be ignored because the approval process requires an up-down vote at the end.

**Margot James:** I cannot be precise as to when, but it will be a priority to issue the framework for all the reasons that the right hon. Gentleman set out. We intend to engage fully with officials across Government, in particular the Departments that he has mentioned, and will consult other areas of expertise and the Information Commissioner herself. Indeed, clause 185(5) sets a requirement for consultation. Most importantly, the framework will then come to Parliament for proper scrutiny. At that point the right hon. Gentleman will have every chance to contribute further to the practicality of establishing this framework as speedily as possible.

*Question put and agreed to.*

*Clause 186 accordingly ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

**Clause 187**

## PUBLICATION AND REVIEW OF THE FRAMEWORK

*Question proposed,* That the clause stand part of the Bill.

**Liam Byrne:** The only issue arising from this clause is the frequency with which the Minister expects the framework to be updated. I welcome the steer that she has given the Committee about how clause 186(5) will be operationalised, but that does not quite get round the problem that I am concerned about. Sometimes, and it has been known to happen, regulations get somewhat hard wired before they are presented to the House. Although it is in the Bill, sometimes that 40-day consultation period does not provide an opportunity to revise and update a measure if we do not think that it is practical.

If, for example, a code of practice is brought forward that says, “For the DWP, the data controller is going to be the accounting officer of the Department or someone associated with the accounting officer of the Department,” that is not going to be a practical strategy for operationalising this Bill within a Department as big and complicated as the DWP. So it may not be possible. We have to accept that. We have to accept the way statutory instruments are put through this place, and the political reality of that. Let us be mature about that. However, we have a belt-and-braces approach set out in clause 187, in that we have the chance to review it. Perhaps the Minister could say a word about how frequently she expects to review and update the legislation, so that it continually improves in the light of experience?

11.45 am

**Margot James:** Clause 187 requires the Secretary of State to publish the framework, and under clause 185 he must keep it under review, and commit to updating it as appropriate. Furthermore, although the Information Commissioner has to take the framework into account, were she investigating a data breach by a Government Department, for example, she might consider it relevant to consider whether that Department had applied the principles set out in the framework. She is also free to disregard the framework if she considers it irrelevant or getting in the way.

It will be a moving thing, and the legislation provides for the Secretary of State to keep it under continual review. If the right hon. Gentleman wishes to have some input before it arrives in the House in the form of a Statutory Instrument, I would be very happy to engage with him.

*Question put and agreed to.*

*Clause 187 accordingly ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

*Clause 188 ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

**Clause 189**

## PUBLICATION AND REVIEW OF THE FRAMEWORK

*Question proposed,* That the clause stand part of the Bill.

**Liam Byrne:** We now come to offences, and crucially in clause 189, the question of penalties for offences. The real world has provided us with some tests for the legislation over the past few days. We have reviewed clauses 189 to 192 again in the light of this week’s news.

Some quite serious questions have been provoked by the Cambridge Analytica scandal, and the revelations about the misuse of data that was collected through an app that sat on the Facebook platform.

For those who missed it, the story is fairly simple. A Cambridge-based academic created an app that allowed the collection not only of personal data but of data associated with one’s friends on Facebook. The data was then transferred to Cambridge Analytica, and that dataset became the soft code platform on which forensic targeting was deployed during the American presidential elections. We do not yet know, because the Mueller inquiry has not been completed, who was paying for the dark social ads targeted at individuals, as allowed by Cambridge Analytica’s methodology.

The reality is that under Facebook’s privacy policy, and under the law as it stood at the time, it is unlikely that the collection and repurposing of that data was illegal. I understand that the data was collected through an app that was about personality tests, and then re-deployed for election targeting. My understanding of the law is that that was not technically illegal, but I will come on to where I think the crime actually lies.

**Julia Lopez (Hornchurch and Upminster) (Con):** The right hon. Gentleman’s point makes it clear that the legislation is extremely timely. Does he not agree that that is why we are all here today—to try to improve the current situation?

**Liam Byrne:** Absolutely. That is why the European Commission has been working on it for so long. Today’s legislation incorporates a bit of European legislation into British law.

The crime that may have been committed is the international transfer of data. It is highly likely that data collected here in the UK was transferred to the United States and deployed—weaponised, in a way—in a political campaign in the United States. It is not clear that that is legal.

The scandal has knocked about \$40 billion off the value of Facebook. I noted with interest that Mr Zuckerberg dumped a whole load of Facebook stock the weekend before the revelations on Monday and Tuesday, and no doubt his shareholders will want to hold him to account for that decision. I read his statement when it finally materialised on Facebook last night, and it concerned me that there was not one word of apology to Facebook users in it. There was an acknowledgement that there had been a massive data breach and a breach of trust, but there was not a single word of apology for what had happened or for Facebook basically facilitating and enabling it. That tells me that we simply will not be able to rely on Facebook self-policing adherence to data protection policies.

The hon. Member for Hornchurch and Upminster is absolutely right—that is why the Bill is absolutely necessary—but the question about the clause is whether the sanctions for misbehaviour are tough enough. Of the two or three things that concerned me most this week, one was how on earth it took the Information Commissioner so long to get the warrant she wanted to search the Cambridge Analytica offices. The Minister may want to say a word about whether that warrant has now been issued. That time lag begs the question whether there is a better way of giving the Information

Commissioner the power to conduct such investigations. As we rehearsed in an earlier sitting, the proposed sanctions are financial, but the reality is that many of Cambridge Analytica's clients are not short of cash—they are not short of loose change—so even the proposed new fines are not necessarily significant enough.

I say that because we know that the companies that contract with organisations such as Cambridge Analytica are often shell companies, so a fine that is cast as a percentage of turnover is not necessarily a sufficient disincentive for people to break the law. That is why I ask the Minister again to consider reviewing the clause and to ask herself, her officials and her Government colleagues whether we should consider a sanction of a custodial sentence where people get in the way of an investigation by the Information Commissioner's Office.

I am afraid that such activities will continue. I very much hope that the Secretary of State for Digital, Culture, Media and Sport reflects on our exchange on the Floor of the House this morning and uses the information he has about public contracts to do a little more work to expose who is in the network of individuals associated with Cambridge Analytica and where other companies may be implicated in this scandal. We know, because it has said so, that Cambridge Analytica is in effect a shell company—it is in effect a wholly owned subsidiary of SCL Elections Ltd—but we also know that it has an intellectual property sharing agreement with other companies, such as AggregateIQ. Mr Alexander Nix, because he signed the non-disclosure agreement, was aware of that. There are relationships between companies around Cambridge Analytica that extend far and wide. I mentioned this morning that I am concerned that the Foreign and Commonwealth Office may be bringing some of them together for its computational propaganda conference somewhere in the countryside this weekend.

The point I really want the Minister to address is whether she is absolutely content that the sanctions proposed under the clause are sufficient to deter and prosecute the kind of misbehaviour, albeit still only alleged, that has been in the news this week, which raises real concerns.

**Stuart C. McDonald** (Cumbernauld, Kilsyth and Kirkintilloch East) (SNP): I will be very brief, because I will largely echo what the right hon. Member for Birmingham, Hodge Hill said. It is absolutely fair to say that our understanding of the potential value of personal information, including that gained by people who break data protection laws, has increased exponentially in recent times, as has our understanding of the damage that can be done to victims of such breaches. I agree that it is not easy to see why the proposed offences stop where they do.

I have a specific question about why there is a two-tier system of penalties. There is a set of offences that are triable only in a summary court and for which there is a maximum fine. I think the maximum in Scotland and Northern Ireland is £5,000. There is a second set of offences that could conceivably be triable on indictment, and there is provision there for an unlimited fine, but not any custodial sentence.

For some companies, if they were in trouble, a £5,000 fine for essentially obstructing justice would be small beer, especially if it allowed them to avoid an unlimited fine. It would be interesting to hear an explanation for that. Many folk would see some of the offences that are triable on indictment as morally equivalent to

embezzlement, serious theft or serious fraud, so it is legitimate to ask why there is no option for a custodial sentence in any circumstance.

**Margot James:** I certainly share the concerns that hon. Members have expressed in the light of the dreadful Cambridge Analytica scandal. I will set out the penalties for summary only offences, which lie in clause 119, "Inspection of personal data in accordance with international obligations"; clause 173, "Alteration etc of personal data to prevent disclosure"; and paragraph 15(1) of schedule 15, which contains the offence of obstructing the execution of a warrant. The maximum penalty on summary conviction for those offences is an unlimited fine in England and Wales or a level 5 fine in Scotland and Northern Ireland.

Clause 189(2) sets out the maximum penalties for offences that can be tried summarily on indictment, which include offences in clause 132 "Confidentiality of information"; clause 145 "False statements made in response to an information notice"; clause 170 "Unlawful obtaining etc of personal data"; clause 171 "Re-identification of de-identified personal data"; and clause 181 "Prohibition of requirement to produce relevant records". Again, the maximum penalty when tried summarily in England or Wales, or on indictment, is an unlimited fine. In Scotland and Northern Ireland, the maximum penalty on summary conviction is a fine

"not exceeding the statutory maximum"

of an unlimited fine when tried on indictment.

**Liam Byrne:** I was listening carefully to the Minister's reply. She said that the sanction is an unlimited fine in England and Wales. Let us take the hypothetical case of Cambridge Analytica, which is a one-man shell company, in effect; in the UK, it is wholly owned by SCL Elections. I am concerned about what happens if that holding company—let us say it is SCL Elections—is registered outside England and Wales, in the United States or Uruguay, for example? Will the fine bite on the one-man shell company, Cambridge Analytica? If so, the shell company will just go out of business—the directors will be struck off and that will be the end of it. That is not much of a sanction.

**Margot James:** The sanctions are as I outlined. The right hon. Gentleman talks about more complex corporate structures. Later in our proceedings, we will touch on the jurisdiction of the general data protection regulation when it comes to dealing with cross-border situations outside the European Union. Perhaps we can throw some light on what he is saying when we come to that point.

The GDPR strengthens the rights of data subjects over their data, including the important right of consent and what constitutes consent by the data subject to the use and processing of their data. That right must now be clear, robust and unambiguous. That is a key change that will provide some protection in the future.

The right hon. Gentleman should remember that, in addition to data protection laws, other sanctions are available, including prosecution for computer misuse, fraud and, potentially, in the case of the example we have been talking about, electoral laws, depending on the circumstances.

*Question put and agreed to.*

*Clause 189 accordingly ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

*Clause 190 ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

**Clause 191**

## LIABILITY OF DIRECTORS ETC

*Question proposed,* That the clause stand part of the Bill.

12 noon

**Liam Byrne:** The debate presents what is potentially a good opportunity to offer a flow of advice to the Minister, if I might pose my question like this: if a company based in the UK has committed an offence, but its holding company is based somewhere else, in what way will clause 191 bite not on the UK operations, but on the holding company elsewhere?

My reading of the extraterritoriality provisions is that the implementation of GDPR and the sanctions around it may well bite in Europe—we will get on to this issue in the debate on extraterritoriality, as the Minister has said—but where companies are registered in, heaven forbid, various tax havens around the world such as Panama or Belize, will the Information Commissioner be able to, in effect, bring prosecutions that will result in action biting on a director of a holding company domiciled somewhere abroad, such as Belize? That is a pretty plausible scenario. Again, this touches on whether the sanctions in the Bill are sufficient to deter the kind of misbehaviour that we now know is running loose around the wild west that the Secretary of State described.

**Margot James:** The clause allows proceedings to be brought against a director, or a person acting in a similar position, as well as the body corporate, where it has been proven that breaches of the Act have occurred with the consent, connivance or negligence of that person. The clause will have the same effect as that of section 61 of the Data Protection Act 1998. I might have to come back to the right hon. Gentleman on some of the points he raised in that hypothetical circumstance, which I have no doubt could certainly exist in the future.

**Liam Byrne:** I would be grateful if the Minister wrote to me on that this afternoon, because if there are deficiencies we will have to get on with preparing amendments for consideration on Report.

*Question put and agreed to.*

*Clause 191 accordingly ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

*Clauses 192 to 195 ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

**Clause 196**

## TRIBUNAL PROCEDURE RULES

*Question proposed,* That the clause stand part of the Bill.

**Liam Byrne:** Questions have arisen on the procedure rules associated with tribunals. The Opposition are concerned that the rights conferred in the Bill are rights in reality, not in theory. That is why we moved important amendments earlier, which were unwisely rejected by the Government, on collective forms of class action.

If we are to ensure that our constituents genuinely have access to the kind of justice mechanisms set out in the clause, we are obviously required to confront the reality that people will sometimes not have the resources for the financing of solicitors or representatives to help

them to make their cases. Will the Minister say a word about whether our constituents will have access to resources such as legal aid to fight those cases in a tribunal?

**Margot James:** The clause provides a power to make tribunal procedure rules to regulate how the rights of appeal before the tribunal and the right to apply for an order from the tribunal, conferred under the Bill, are exercised. It sets out the way a data subject's right to authorise a representative body to apply for an order on his or her behalf under article 80 of the GDPR and clause 183 can be exercised. For somebody who does not have the means to pursue an individual claim, that is obviously a way forward in some circumstances. In addition, it provides a power to make provision about "securing the production of material used for the processing of personal data,"

and

"the inspection, examination, operation and testing of equipment or material used in connection with the processing of personal data."

The provisions are equivalent to paragraph 7 of schedule 6 of the 1998 Act.

**Liam Byrne:** That is a helpful explanation. It is obvious from the Minister's response that those tribunal rules will be incredibly important in providing democratic access to justice where our constituents have been maligned and their data rights abused. The tribunal procedure rules, given what she has said, will be of great interest to right hon. and hon. Members.

Will the Minister clarify what oversight and scrutiny we may have in the House of those tribunal procedure rules, or whether they are purely rules that are the child of the tribunal authorities? Are they something the tribunal authorities can just issue, or is there some oversight, amendment or improvement that we in the House can provide?

**Margot James:** I cannot be precise about the level of scrutiny that the tribunal procedure rules may or may not be subject to, but in further answer to the right hon. Gentleman's earlier question, legal aid is also available, as set out in the Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012, where a failure to fund would breach the European convention on human rights. There is that protection over and above the right of people to join a group action. The rules set by the Tribunal Procedure Rules Committee will be set, I am told, by applying its own consultation process, which the Lord Chancellor lays before Parliament.

*Question put and agreed to.*

*Clause 196 accordingly ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

*Clause 197 ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

**Clause 198**

## OTHER DEFINITIONS

*Amendments made:* 70, in clause 198, page 114, line 25, at end insert

"the following (except in the expression "United Kingdom government department")".

*This amendment makes clear that the definition of "government department" does not operate on references to a "United Kingdom government department" (which can be found in Clause 185 and paragraph 1 of Schedule 7).*

Amendment 71, in clause 198, page 115, line 8, at end insert—

“(2) References in this Act to a period expressed in hours, days, weeks, months or years are to be interpreted in accordance with Article 3 of Regulation (EEC, Euratom) No. 1182/71 of the Council of 3 June 1971 determining the rules applicable to periods, dates and time limits, except in—

- (a) section 125(4), (7) and (8);
- (b) section 160(3), (5) and (6);
- (c) section 176(2);
- (d) section 179(8) and (9);
- (e) section 180(4);
- (f) section 186(3), (5) and (6);
- (g) section 190(3) and (4);
- (h) paragraph 18(4) and (5) of Schedule 1;
- (i) paragraphs 5(4) and 6(4) of Schedule 3;
- (j) Schedule 5;
- (k) paragraph 11(5) of Schedule 12;
- (l) Schedule 15;

(and the references in section 5 to terms used in Chapter 2 or 3 of Part 2 do not include references to a period expressed in hours, days, weeks, months or years).”

*This amendment provides that periods of time referred to in the bill are generally to be interpreted in accordance with Article 3 of EC Regulation 1182/71, which makes provision about the calculation of periods of hours, days, weeks, months and years.*

Amendment 182, in clause 198, page 115, line 8, at end insert—

“( ) Section 3(14)(aa) (interpretation of references to Chapter 2 of Part 2 in Parts 5 to 7) and the amendments in Schedule 18 which make equivalent provision are not to be treated as implying a contrary intention for the purposes of section 20(2) of the Interpretation Act 1978, or any similar provision in another enactment, as it applies to other references to, or to a provision of, Chapter 2 of Part 2 of this Act.”—(*Margot James.*)

*Clause 3(14)(aa) (inserted by amendment 4) and equivalent provision contained in amendments in Schedule 18 state expressly that references to Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the bill in Parts 5 to 7 of the bill, and in certain amendments in Schedule 18, include that Chapter as applied by Chapter 3 of Part 2. This amendment secures that they are not to be treated as implying a contrary intention for the purposes of section 20(2) of the Interpretation Act 1978. Section 20(2) provides that where an Act refers to an enactment that reference includes that enactment as applied, unless the contrary intention appears.*

*Clause 198, as amended, ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

*Clause 199 ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

## Clause 200

### TERRITORIAL APPLICATION OF THIS ACT

*Amendments made:* 183, in clause 200, page 117, line 15, leave out subsections (1) to (4) and insert—

“(1) This Act applies only to processing of personal data described in subsections (2) and (3).

(2) It applies to the processing of personal data in the context of the activities of an establishment of a controller or processor in the United Kingdom, whether or not the processing takes place in the United Kingdom.

(3) It also applies to the processing of personal data to which Chapter 2 of Part 2 (the GDPR) applies where—

- (a) the processing is carried out in the context of the activities of an establishment of a controller or processor in a country or territory that is not a member State, whether or not the processing takes place in such a country or territory,

(b) the personal data relates to a data subject who is in the United Kingdom when the processing takes place, and

(c) the processing activities are related to—

- (i) the offering of goods or services to data subjects in the United Kingdom, whether or not for payment, or
- (ii) the monitoring of data subjects’ behaviour in the United Kingdom.’

*This amendment replaces the existing provision on territorial application in clause 200(1) to (4). In the amendment, subsection (2) provides that the bill applies to processing in the context of the activities of an establishment of a controller or processor in the UK. Subsection (3) provides that, in certain circumstances, the bill also applies to processing to which the GDPR applies and which is carried out in the context of activities of an establishment of a controller or processor in a country or territory that is not part of the EU.*

Amendment 184, in clause 200, page 118, line 8, leave out “(4)” and insert “(3)”.

*This amendment is consequential on amendment 183.*

Amendment 185, in clause 200, page 118, leave out line 10 and insert “processing of personal data”.

*This amendment is consequential on amendment 183.*

Amendment 186, in clause 200, page 118, line 10, at end insert—

“(5A) Section 3(14)(b) does not apply to the reference to the processing of personal data in subsection (2).

(5B) The reference in subsection (3) to Chapter 2 of Part 2 (the GDPR) does not include that Chapter as applied by Chapter 3 of Part 2 (the applied GDPR).”

*New subsection (5A) secures that the reference to “processing” in the new subsection (2) inserted by amendment 183 includes all types of processing of personal data. It disapplies clause 3(14)(b), which provides that references to processing in Parts 5 to 7 of the bill are usually only to processing to which Chapter 2 or 3 of Part 2, Part 3 or Part 4 applies. New subsection (5B) secures that the reference in the new subsection (3) to Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the bill does not include that Chapter as applied by Chapter 3 of Part 2.*

Amendment 187, in clause 200, page 118, line 11, leave out “established” and insert “who has an establishment”.

*This amendment is consequential on amendment 183.*

Amendment 188, in clause 200, page 118, line 21, after “to” insert “a person who has an”.

*This amendment is consequential on amendment 183.*

Amendment 189, in clause 200, page 118, line 23, leave out subsection (7).—(*Margot James.*)

*This amendment is consequential on amendment 183.*

*Question proposed,* That the clause, as amended, stand part of the Bill.

**Liam Byrne:** This is where we get into some of the whys and wherefores of the territorial application of the Bill. We can see in clause 200(1) that the Bill essentially bites on a data controller who is domiciled here in the United Kingdom. A question of public concern—it should also concern us in this Committee—is whether the bite and sanctions of the Bill will touch on people who are registered here, but not necessarily on directors of holding companies who are domiciled elsewhere.

I expect that the things we will learn about over the weekend and into next week will confirm for us all that very small companies—essentially corporate shells—that are perhaps registered as data controllers and might have committed offences under the 1998 Act or under the Bill, once it has received Royal Assent, might be controlled by directors who are domiciled elsewhere. If the Bill is to be worth anything and if it is to change

[Liam Byrne]

anything in the real world in which we happen to live, there is a real question about how offences committed under it by people here will be limited by the corporate realities, which mean that shell companies are data controllers, but actually the wealth, assets and operating mind of a company are somewhere else. Perhaps the Minister will say a little about how she will tackle that particular problem, because we know it is going to arise.

**Margot James:** First, a word on the clause, which sets out the territorial application with respect to the circumstances in which the Bill applies to the processing of personal data. Article 3 of the GDPR says that the GDPR applies where the processing of personal data occurs in the context of the activities of a controller or a processor established in the EU, and that it will also apply where a controller or processor is based outside the EU, but is processing the data of people within the EU in connection with the offering of goods and services to them, or for monitoring their behaviour.

We have revisited the clause to ensure that, as far as possible, the scope of the Bill aligns with the scope of the GDPR, albeit in a UK-only context. The Bill will allow the sanction to be given to an overseas entity where it is in the control of a UK-based company. Whether it can be enforced will depend on international arrangements for bringing people to justice, including those beyond the area of data protection.

One additional point, regarding the global nature of these crimes, is that under UK law we already have stronger data protection laws than many other countries—indeed, considerably stronger than in the United States. That means that American citizens with an interest in this Cambridge Analytica debacle are using the British courts and British legislation to enforce things such as data subject access requests, which have revealed a great deal of the evidence that is coming out of Cambridge Analytica. So we benefit as well from the strength of the data provisions that we have at the moment, which we are of course strengthening through the Bill.

*Question put and agreed to.*

*Clause 200, as amended, accordingly ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

*Clause 201 ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

### Clause 202

#### APPLICATION TO THE CROWN

*Question proposed,* That the clause stand part of the Bill.

**Liam Byrne:** I think we would all benefit from a little bit of explanation about how this clause will work in practice. For those who have not read clause 202 in detail, it basically explains how this Bill will operate when it comes to the Crown. That is obviously important, because within Her Majesty's estates there are particular estates such as the Duchy of Lancaster and indeed the Duchy of Cornwall, which are often quite big businesses. I remember from my own time as Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster that there are some quite significant

property holdings in that Duchy, and they make a not insignificant contribution to the funds that Her Majesty uses to work with, day to day. How will this clause be put into practice and are there any relevant exemptions that we should know about?

**Margot James:** Clause 202 does not contain any provision to exempt the Crown from the requirements of the GDPR. Likewise, section 63 of the 1998 Act also binds the Crown. This clause makes similar and related provision. For example, where Crown bodies enter into controller-processor relationships with each other, subsection (3) provides that the arrangement may be governed by a memorandum of understanding, rather than a contract. This is to meet the requirements of article 28 of the GDPR.

*Question put and agreed to.*

*Clause 202 accordingly ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

*Clause 203 ordered to stand part of the bill.*

### Clause 204

#### MINOR AND CONSEQUENTIAL AMENDMENTS

*Amendment made:* 190, in clause 204, page 120, line 12, leave out subsection (1) and insert—

“(1) In Schedule 18—

- (a) Part 1 contains minor and consequential amendments of primary legislation;
- (b) Part 2 contains minor and consequential amendments of other legislation;
- (c) Part 3 contains consequential modifications of legislation;
- (d) Part 4 contains supplementary provision.”

*This amendment sets out the contents of Schedule 18 and is consequential on the amendments being made to Schedule 18 including in particular the insertion of new Parts 3 and 4 into that Schedule by amendment 224.—(Margot James.)*

*Clause 204, as amended, ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

### Schedule 18

#### MINOR AND CONSEQUENTIAL AMENDMENTS

*Amendments made:* 191, in schedule 18, page 208, line 25, at end insert—

*“Registration Service Act 1953 (c. 37)*

A1 (1) Section 19AC of the Registration Service Act 1953 (codes of practice) is amended as follows.

(2) In subsection (2), for “section 52B (data-sharing code) of the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “section 122 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (data-sharing code)”.

(3) In subsection (11), for “section 51(3) of the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “section 128 of the Data Protection Act 2018”.

*Veterinary Surgeons Act 1966 (c. 36)*

A2 (1) Section 1A of the Veterinary Surgeons Act 1966 (functions of the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons as competent authority) is amended as follows.

(2) In subsection (8)—

- (a) omit “personal data protection legislation in the United Kingdom that implements”,
- (b) for paragraph (a) substitute—  
“(a) the GDPR; and”, and

(c) in paragraph (b), at the beginning insert “legislation in the United Kingdom that implements”.

(3) In subsection (9), after “section” insert “—

“the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018;”.

*This amendment makes consequential amendments to primary legislation.*

Amendment 192, in schedule 18, page 210, line 4, at end insert—

“Pharmacy (Northern Ireland) Order 1976 (S.I. 1976/1213 (N.I. 22))

8A The Pharmacy (Northern Ireland) Order 1976 is amended as follows.

8B In article 2(2) (interpretation), omit the definition of “Directive 95/46/EC”.

8C In article 8D (European professional card), after paragraph (3) insert—

“(4) In Schedule 2C, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018.”

8D In article 22A(6) (Directive 2005/36/EC: functions of competent authority etc.), before sub-paragraph (a) insert—

“(za) “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018;”.

8E (1) Schedule 2C (Directive 2005/36/EC: European professional card) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph 8(1) (access to data), for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

(3) In paragraph 9 (processing data), omit sub-paragraph (2) (deeming the Society to be the controller for the purposes of Directive 95/46/EC).

8F (1) The table in Schedule 2D (functions of the Society under Directive 2005/36/EC) is amended as follows.

(2) In the entry for Article 56(2), in the second column, for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

(3) In the entry for Article 56a(4), in the second column, for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

8G (1) Paragraph 2 of Schedule 3 (fitness to practice: disclosure of information) is amended as follows.

(2) In sub-paragraph (2)(a), after “provision” insert “or the GDPR”.

(3) For sub-paragraph (3) substitute—

“(3) In determining for the purposes of sub-paragraph (2)(a) whether a disclosure is prohibited, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to that Act (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by this paragraph.”

(4) After sub-paragraph (4) insert—

“(5) In this paragraph, “the GDPR” and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act).”

*Representation of the People Act 1983 (c. 2)*

8H (1) Schedule 2 to the Representation of the People Act 1983 (provisions which may be contained in regulations as to registration etc) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph 1A(5), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(4) and (14) of that Act)”.

(3) In paragraph 8C(2), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(4) and (14) of that Act)”.

(4) In paragraph 11A—

(a) in sub-paragraph (1) for “who are data users to supply data, or documents containing information extracted from data and” substitute “to supply information”, and

(b) omit sub-paragraph (2).”

*This amendment makes consequential amendments to primary legislation.*

Amendment 193, in schedule 18, page 210, leave out lines 5 to 39 and insert—

“Medical Act 1983 (c. 54)

9 The Medical Act 1983 is amended as follows.

10 (1) Section 29E (evidence) is amended as follows.

(2) In subsection (5), after “enactment” insert “or the GDPR”.

(3) For subsection (7) substitute—

“(7) In determining for the purposes of subsection (5) whether a disclosure is prohibited, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to that Act (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by this section.”

(4) In subsection (9), at the end insert—

““the GDPR” and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act).”

11 (1) Section 35A (General Medical Council’s power to require disclosure of information) is amended as follows.

(2) In subsection (4), after “enactment” insert “or the GDPR”.

(3) For subsection (5A) substitute—

“(5A) In determining for the purposes of subsection (4) whether a disclosure is prohibited, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to that Act (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by this section.”

(4) In subsection (7), at the end insert—

““the GDPR” and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act).”

12 In section 49B(7) (Directive 2005/36: designation of competent authority etc.), after “Schedule 4A” insert “—

“the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018;”.

13 In section 55(1) (interpretation), omit the definition of “Directive 95/46/EC”.

13A (1) Paragraph 9B of Schedule 1 (incidental powers of the General Medical Council) is amended as follows.

(2) In sub-paragraph (2)(a), after “enactment” insert “or the GDPR”.

(3) After sub-paragraph (3) insert—

“(4) In this paragraph, “the GDPR” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act).”

13B (1) Paragraph 5A of Schedule 4 (professional performance assessments and health assessments) is amended as follows.

(2) In sub-paragraph (8), after “enactment” insert “or the GDPR”.

(3) For sub-paragraph (8A) substitute—

“(8A) In determining for the purposes of sub-paragraph (8) whether a disclosure is prohibited, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to that Act (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by this paragraph.”

(4) After sub-paragraph (13) insert—

“(14) In this paragraph, “the GDPR” and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act).”

13C (1) The table in Schedule 4A (functions of the General Medical Council as competent authority under Directive 2005/36) is amended as follows.

(2) In the entry for Article 56(2), in the second column, for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

(3) In the entry for Article 56a(4), in the second column, for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

*This amendment replaces the existing consequential amendments of the Medical Act 1983.*

Amendment 194, in schedule 18, page 211, line 18, leave out from “GDPR” to “(see” in line 19 and insert “and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act”

*This amendment makes clear that in section 33B of the Dentists Act 1984 references to Schedule 2 to the bill include that Schedule as applied by Chapter 3 of Part 2 of the bill.*

Amendment 195, in schedule 18, page 211, line 20, at end insert—

15A In section 36ZA(6) (Directive 2005/36: designation of competent authority etc), after “Schedule 4ZA—” insert—

““the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018;”

*This amendment makes further consequential amendments to the Dentists Act 1984.*

Amendment 196, in schedule 18, page 211, line 39, leave out from “GDPR” to “(see” in line 40 and insert “and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act”

*This amendment makes clear that in section 36Y of the Dentists Act 1984 references to Schedule 2 to the bill include that Schedule as applied by Chapter 3 of Part 2 of the bill.*

Amendment 197, in schedule 18, page 211, line 41, at end insert—

16A In section 53(1) (interpretation), omit the definition of “Directive 95/46/EC”.

16B (1) The table in Schedule 4ZA (Directive 2005/36: functions of the General Dental Council under section 36ZA(3)) is amended as follows.

(2) In the entry for Article 56(2), in the second column, for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

(3) In the entry for Article 56a(4), in the second column, for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

*Companies Act 1985 (c. 6)*

16C In section 449(11) of the Companies Act 1985 (provision for security of information obtained), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

*This amendment makes consequential amendments to primary legislation, including further consequential amendments to the Dentists Act 1984.*

Amendment 198, in schedule 18, page 212, line 16, leave out from “GDPR” to “(see” in line 17 and insert “and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act”

*This amendment makes clear that in section 13B of the Opticians Act 1989 references to Schedule 2 to the bill include that Schedule as applied by Chapter 3 of Part 2 of the bill.*

Amendment 199, in schedule 18, page 212, line 18, at end insert—

*“Access to Health Records Act 1990 (c. 23)*

18A The Access to Health Records Act 1990 is amended as follows.

18B For section 2 substitute—

“2 Health professionals

In this Act, “health professional” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 197 of that Act).”

18C (1) Section 3 (right of access to health records) is amended as follows.

(2) In subsection (2), omit “Subject to subsection (4) below;”.

(3) In subsection (4), omit from “other than the following” to the end.”

*This amendment makes consequential amendments to the Access to Health Records Act 1990.*

Amendment 200, in schedule 18, page 213, line 2, at end insert—

*“Industrial Relations (Northern Ireland) Order 1992 (S.I. 1992/807 (N.I. 5))*

21A (1) Article 90B of the Industrial Relations (Northern Ireland) Order 1992 (prohibition on disclosure of information held by the Labour Relations Agency) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (3), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

(3) After paragraph (6) insert—

“(7) In this Article, “the data protection legislation” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3 of that Act).”

*This amendment makes consequential amendments to the Industrial Relations (Northern Ireland) Order 1992.*

Amendment 201, in schedule 18, page 216, line 10, leave out from “data” to “(see” in line 11 and insert “, “processing” and references to a provision of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act”

*This amendment makes clear that in section 40 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 references to a provision of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the bill include that provision as applied by Chapter 3 of Part 2 of the bill.*

Amendment 202, in schedule 18, page 219, line 15, leave out from “GDPR” to “(see” in line 16 and insert “and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act”

*This amendment makes clear that in section 7A of the Health and Personal Social Services Act (Northern Ireland) 2001 references to Schedule 2 to the bill include that Schedule as applied by Chapter 3 of Part 2 of the bill.*

Amendment 203, in schedule 18, page 220, line 7, at end insert—



Amendment 210, in schedule 18, page 230, line 16, at end insert—

“Coroners and Justice Act 2009 (c. 25)

122A In Schedule 21 of the Coroners and Justice Act 2009 (minor and consequential amendments), omit paragraph 29(3).”

*This amendment makes a consequential amendment to the Coroners and Justice Act 2009 and is consequential on the amendments being made to section 3 of the Access to Health Records Act 1990 by amendment 199.*

Amendment 211, in schedule 18, page 232, line 39, after “after “” insert “this”

*Paragraph 130(3) of Schedule 18 to the bill amends paragraph 8(8) of Schedule 2 to the Welsh Language (Wales) Measure 2011 by inserting new text. This amendment clarifies where that new text is to be inserted in the English language version of that Measure.*

Amendment 212, in schedule 18, page 242, line 40, at end insert—

“Additional Learning Needs and Educational Tribunal (Wales) Act 2018 (anaw 2)

186A (1) Section 4 of the Additional Learning Needs and Educational Tribunal (Wales) Act 2018 (additional learning needs code) is amended as follows.

(2) In the English language text—

(a) in subsection (9), omit from “and in this subsection” to the end, and

(b) after subsection (9) insert—

“(9A) In subsection (9)—

“data subject” (“testun y data”) has the meaning given by section3(5) of the Data Protection Act 2018;

“personal data” (“data personol”) has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section3(2) and (14) of that Act).”

(3) In the Welsh language text—

(a) in subsection (9), omit from “ac yn yr is-adran hon” to the end, and

(b) after subsection (9) insert—

“(9A) Yn is-adran (9)—

mae i “data personol” yr un ystyr ag a roddir i “personal data” yn Rhannau 5 i 7 o Ddeddf Diogelu Data 2018 (gweler adran3(2) a (14) o’r Ddeddf honno);

mae i “testun y data” yr ystyr a roddir i “data subject” gan adran3(5) o’r Ddeddf honno.”

*This amendment makes consequential amendments to the Additional Learning Needs and Educational Tribunal (Wales) Act 2018.*

Amendment 213, in schedule 18, page 243, line 14, at end insert—

“Estate Agents (Specific Offences) (No. 2) Order 1991 (S.I. 1991/1091)

187A In the table in the Schedule to the Estate Agents (Specified Offences) (No. 2) Order 1991 (specified offences), at the end insert—

“Data Protection Act 2018	Section145	False statements made in response to an information notice””
---------------------------	------------	--

*This amendment makes a consequential amendment to the Estate Agents (Specific Offences) (No. 2) Order 1991.*

Amendment 214, in schedule 18, page 243, line 22, after “controller”, insert—

(ba) after “in the context of” insert “the activities of”,

*This amendment to the consequential amendment to the Channel Tunnel (International Agreements) Order 1993 is consequential on amendment 183.*

Amendment 215, in schedule 18, page 243, line 27, after “controller”, insert—

(ba) after “in the context of” insert “the activities of”,

*This amendment to the consequential amendment to the Channel Tunnel (International Agreements) Order 1993 is consequential on amendment 183.*

Amendment 216, in schedule 18, page 243, line 28, at end insert—

“Access to Health Records (Northern Ireland) Order 1993 (S.I. 1993/1250 (N.I. 4))

188A The Access to Health Records (Northern Ireland) Order 1993 is amended as follows.

188B In Article 4 (health professionals), for paragraph (1) substitute—

“(1) In this Order, “health professional” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 197 of that Act).”

188C In Article 5(4)(a) (fees for access to health records), for “under section 7 of the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “made by the Department”.

*Channel Tunnel (Miscellaneous Provisions) Order 1994 (S.I. 1994/1405)*

188D In article 4 of the Channel Tunnel (Miscellaneous Provisions) Order 1994 (application of enactments), for paragraphs (2) and (3) substitute—

“(2) For the purposes of section 200 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (“the 2018 Act”), data which is processed in a control zone in Belgium, in connection with the carrying out of frontier controls, by an officer belonging to the United Kingdom is to be treated as processed by a controller established in the United Kingdom in the context of the activities of that establishment (and accordingly the 2018 Act applies in respect of such data).

(3) For the purposes of section 200 of the 2018 Act, data which is processed in a control zone in Belgium, in connection with the carrying out of frontier controls, by an officer belonging to the Kingdom of Belgium is to be treated as processed by a controller established in the Kingdom of Belgium in the context of the activities of that establishment (and accordingly the 2018 Act does not apply in respect of such data).”

*European Primary and Specialist Dental Qualifications Regulations 1998 (S.I. 1998/811)*

188E The European Primary and Specialist Dental Qualifications Regulations 1998 are amended as follows.

188F (1) Regulation 2(1) (interpretation) is amended as follows.

(2) Omit the definition of “Directive 95/46/EC”.

(3) At the appropriate place insert—

““the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018;”.

188G (1) The table in Schedule A1 (functions of the GDC under Directive 2005/36) is amended as follows.

(2) In the entry for Article 56(2), in the second column, for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

(3) In the entry for Article 56a(4), in the second column, for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

*Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body (Crown Status) Order 1999 (S.I. 1999/677)*

188H For article 7 of the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body (Crown Status) Order 1999 substitute—

“7 Data Protection Act 2018

(1) The Parliamentary corporation is to be treated as a Crown body for the purposes of the Data Protection Act 2018 to the extent specified in this article.

(2) The Parliamentary corporation is to be treated as a government department for the purposes of the following provisions—

(a) section8(d) (lawfulness of processing under the GDPR: public interest etc),

(b) section202 (application to the Crown),

- (c) paragraph 6 of Schedule 1 (statutory etc and government purposes),
- (d) paragraph 7 of Schedule 2 (exemptions from the GDPR: functions designed to protect the public etc), and
- (e) paragraph 8(1)(o) of Schedule 3 (exemptions from the GDPR: health data).

(3) In the provisions mentioned in paragraph (4)—

- (a) references to employment by or under the Crown are to be treated as including employment as a member of staff of the Parliamentary corporation, and
- (b) references to a person in the service of the Crown are to be treated as including a person so employed.

(4) The provisions are—

- (a) section 24(3) (exemption for certain data relating to employment under the Crown), and
- (b) section 202(6) (application of certain provisions to a person in the service of the Crown).

(5) In this article, references to a provision of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(14) of that Act)."

*Northern Ireland Assembly Commission (Crown Status) Order 1999 (S.I. 1999/3145)*

188I For article 9 of the Northern Ireland Assembly Commission (Crown Status) Order 1999 substitute—

"9 Data Protection Act 2018

(1) The Commission is to be treated as a Crown body for the purposes of the Data Protection Act 2018 to the extent specified in this article.

(2) The Commission is to be treated as a government department for the purposes of the following provisions—

- (a) section 8(d) (lawfulness of processing under the GDPR: public interest etc),
- (b) section 202 (application to the Crown),
- (c) paragraph 6 of Schedule 1 (statutory etc and government purposes),
- (d) paragraph 7 of Schedule 2 (exemptions from the GDPR: functions designed to protect the public etc), and
- (e) paragraph 8(1)(o) of Schedule 3 (exemptions from the GDPR: health data).

(3) In the provisions mentioned in paragraph (4)—

- (a) references to employment by or under the Crown are to be treated as including employment as a member of staff of the Commission, and
- (b) references to a person in the service of the Crown are to be treated as including a person so employed.

(4) The provisions are—

- (a) section 24(3) (exemption for certain data relating to employment under the Crown), and
- (b) section 202(6) (application of certain provisions to a person in the service of the Crown).

(5) In this article, references to a provision of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(14) of that Act)."

*Representation of the People (England and Wales) Regulations 2001 (S.I. 2001/341)*

188J The Representation of the People (England and Wales) Regulations 2001 are amended as follows.

188K In regulation 3(1) (interpretation), at the appropriate places insert—

"“Article 89 GDPR purposes” means the purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”;

"“the data protection legislation” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3 of that Act);”;

"“the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation);”;

188L In regulation 26(3)(a) (applications for registration), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

188M In regulation 26A(2)(a) (application for alteration of register in respect of name under section 10ZD), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

188N In regulation 32ZA(3)(f) (annual canvass), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

188O In regulation 61A (conditions on the use, supply and inspection of absent voter records or lists), for paragraph (a) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(a) Article 89 GDPR purposes;”;

188P (1) Regulation 92(2) (interpretation and application of Part VI etc) is amended as follows.

(2) After sub-paragraph (b) insert—

“(ba) “relevant requirement” means the requirement under Article 89 of the GDPR, read with section 19 of the Data Protection Act 2018, that personal data processed for Article 89 GDPR purposes must be subject to appropriate safeguards.”

(3) Omit sub-paragraphs (c) and (d).

188Q In regulation 96(2A)(b)(i) (restriction on use of the full register), for “section 11(3) of the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “section 123(5) of the Data Protection Act 2018”.

188R In regulation 97(5) and (6) (supply of free copy of full register to the British Library and restrictions on use), for “research purposes in compliance with the relevant conditions” substitute “Article 89 GDPR purposes in accordance with the relevant requirement”.

188S In regulation 97A(7) and (8) (supply of free copy of full register to the National Library of Wales and restrictions on use), for “research purposes in compliance with the relevant conditions” substitute “Article 89 GDPR purposes in accordance with the relevant requirement”.

188T In regulation 99(6) and (7) (supply of free copy of full register etc to Statistics Board and restrictions on use), for “research purposes in compliance with the relevant conditions” substitute “Article 89 GDPR purposes in accordance with the relevant requirement”.

188U In regulation 109A(9) and (10) (supply of free copy of full register to public libraries and local authority archives services and restrictions on use), for “research purposes in compliance with the relevant conditions” substitute “Article 89 GDPR purposes in accordance with the relevant requirement”.

188V In regulation 119(2) (conditions on the use, supply and disclosure of documents open to public inspection), for sub-paragraph (i) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(i) Article 89 GDPR purposes;”;

*Representation of the People (Scotland) Regulations 2001 (S.I. 2001/497)*

188W The Representation of the People (Scotland) Regulations 2001 are amended as follows.

188X In regulation 3(1) (interpretation), at the appropriate places, insert—

"“Article 89 GDPR purposes” means the purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”;

"“the data protection legislation” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3 of that Act);”;

"“the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation);”.

188Y In regulation 26(3)(a) (applications for registration), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

188Z In regulation 26A(2)(a) (application for alteration of register in respect of name under section 10ZD), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

188AA In regulation 32ZA(3)(f) (annual canvass), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

188AB In regulation 61(3) (records and lists kept under Schedule 4), for paragraph (a) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(a) Article 89 GDPR purposes;”.

188AC In regulation 61A (conditions on the use, supply and inspection of absent voter records or lists), for paragraph (a) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(a) Article 89 GDPR purposes;”.

188AD (1) Regulation 92(2) (interpretation of Part VI etc) is amended as follows.

(2) After sub-paragraph (b) insert—

“(ba) “relevant requirement” means the requirement under Article 89 of the GDPR, read with section 19 of the Data Protection Act 2018, that personal data processed for Article 89 GDPR purposes must be subject to appropriate safeguards.”

(3) Omit sub-paragraphs (c) and (d).

188AE In regulation 95(3)(b)(i) (restriction on use of the full register), for “section 11(3) of the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “section 123(5) of the Data Protection Act 2018”.

188AF In regulation 96(5) and (6) (supply of free copy of full register to the National Library of Scotland and the British Library and restrictions on use), for “research purposes in compliance with the relevant conditions” substitute “Article 89 GDPR purposes in accordance with the relevant requirement”.

188AG In regulation 98(6) and (7) (supply of free copy of full register etc to Statistics Board and restrictions on use), for “research purposes in compliance with the relevant conditions” substitute “Article 89 GDPR purposes in accordance with the relevant requirement”.

188AH In regulation 108A(9) and (10) (supply of full register to statutory library authorities and local authority archives services and restrictions on use), for “research purposes in compliance with the relevant conditions” substitute “Article 89 GDPR purposes in accordance with the relevant requirement”.

188AI In regulation 119(2) (conditions on the use, supply and disclosure of documents open to public inspection), for sub-paragraph (i) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(i) Article 89 GDPR purposes;”.

*Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Disclosure of Confidential Information) Regulations 2001 (S.I. 2001/2188)*

188AJ (1) Article 9 of the Financial Services and Markets 2000 (Disclosure of Confidential Information) Regulations 2001 (disclosure by regulators or regulator workers to certain other persons) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (2B), for sub-paragraph (a) substitute—

“(a) the disclosure is made in accordance with Chapter V of the GDPR;”.

(3) After paragraph (5) insert—

“(6) In this article, “the GDPR” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act).”

*Nursing and Midwifery Order 2001 (S.I. 2002/253)*

188AK The Nursing and Midwifery Order 2001 is amended as follows.

188AL (1) Article 3 (the Nursing and Midwifery Council and its Committees) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (18), after “enactment” insert “or the GDPR”.

(3) After paragraph (18) insert—

“(19) In this paragraph, “the GDPR” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act).”

188AM (1) Article 25 (the Council’s power to require disclosure of information) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (3), after “enactment” insert “or the GDPR”.

(3) In paragraph (6)—

(a) for “paragraph (5),” substitute “paragraph (3)—”, and

(b) at the appropriate place insert—

““the GDPR” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act).”

188AN In article 39B (European professional card), after paragraph (2) insert—

“(3) For the purposes of Schedule 2B, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018.”

188AO In article 40(6) (Directive 2005/36/EC: designation of competent authority etc), at the appropriate place insert—

““the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018;”.

188AP (1) Schedule 2B (Directive 2005/36/EC: European professional card) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph 8(1) (access to data) for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

(3) In paragraph 9 (processing data), omit sub-paragraph (2) (deeming the Society to be the controller for the purposes of Directive 95/46/EC).

188AQ (1) The table in Schedule 3 (functions of the Council under Directive 2005/36) is amended as follows.

(2) In the entry for Article 56(2), in the second column, for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

(3) In the entry for Article 56a(4), in the second column, for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

188AR In Schedule 4 (interpretation), omit the definition of “Directive 95/46/EC”.

*Electronic Commerce (EC Directive) Regulations 2002 (S.I. 2002/2013)*

188AS Regulation 3 of the Electronic Commerce (EC Directive) Regulations 2002 (exclusions) is amended as follows.

188AT In paragraph (1)(b) for “the Data Protection Directive and the Telecommunications Data Protection Directive” substitute “the GDPR”.

188AU In paragraph (3)—

(a) omit the definitions of “Data Protection Directive” and “Telecommunications Data Protection Directive”, and

(b) at the appropriate place insert—

““the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation);”.

*This amendment makes consequential amendments to secondary legislation, including to the Scottish Parliamentary Corporate Body (Crown Status) Order 1999 and the Northern Ireland Assembly Commission (Crown Status) Order 1999.*

Amendment 217, in schedule 18, page 244, line 1, at end insert—

(d) for “data controller” substitute “controller”, and

(e) after “in the context of” insert “the activities of”.

*Pupils' Educational Records (Scotland) Regulations 2003 (S.S.I. 2003/581)*

191A The Pupils' Educational Records (Scotland) Regulations 2003 are amended as follows.

191B (1) Regulation 2 (interpretation) is amended as follows.

(2) Omit the definition of “the 1998 Act”.

(3) At the appropriate place insert—

““the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018;”.

191C (1) Regulation 6 (circumstances where information should not be disclosed) is amended as follows.

(2) After “any information” insert “to the extent that any of the following conditions are satisfied”.

(3) For paragraphs (a) to (c) substitute—

(aa) the pupil to whom the information relates would have no right of access to the information under the GDPR;

(ab) the information is personal data described in Article 9(1) or 10 of the GDPR (special categories of personal data and personal data relating to criminal convictions and offences);”.

(4) In paragraph (d), for “to the extent that its disclosure” substitute “the disclosure of the information”.

(5) In paragraph (e), for “that” substitute “the information”.

191D In regulation 9 (fees), for paragraph (1) substitute—

“(1A) In complying with a request made under regulation 5(2), the responsible body may only charge a fee where Article 12(5) or Article 15(3) of the GDPR would permit the charging of a fee if the request had been made by the pupil to whom the information relates under Article 15 of the GDPR.

(1B) Where paragraph (1A) permits the charging of a fee, the responsible body may not charge a fee that—

(a) exceeds the cost of supply, or

(b) exceeds any limit in regulations made under section 12 of the Data Protection Act 2018 that would apply if the request had been made by the pupil to whom the information relates under Article 15 of the GDPR.”

*European Parliamentary Elections (Northern Ireland) Regulations 2004 (S.I. 2004/1267)*

191E Schedule 1 to the European Parliamentary Elections (Northern Ireland) Regulations 2004 (European Parliamentary elections rules) is amended as follows.

191F (1) Paragraph 74(1) (interpretation) is amended as follows.

(2) Omit the definitions of “relevant conditions” and “research purposes”.

(3) At the appropriate places insert—

““Article 89 GDPR purposes” means the purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”;

““the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation);”.

191G In paragraph 77(2)(b) (conditions on the use, supply and disclosure of documents open to public inspection), for “research purposes” substitute “Article 89 GDPR purposes”.

*This amendment makes consequential amendments to secondary legislation, including to the Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002 (Juxtaposed Controls) Order 2003. The amendment to that Order is consequential on amendment 183, and also changes the reference in article 11(4) of that Order to a “data controller” to a “controller”.*

Amendment 218, in schedule 18, page 244, line 13, leave out from “GDPR” to “(see” in line 14 and insert “and references to a provision of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act”

*This amendment makes clear that in the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 references to a provision of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the bill include that provision as applied by Chapter 3 of Part 2 of the bill.*

Amendment 219, in schedule 18, page 246, line 31, leave out from “GDPR” to “(see” in line 32 and insert “and references to a provision of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act”

*This amendment makes clear that in the Environmental Information (Scotland) Regulations 2004 references to a provision of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the bill include that provision as applied by Chapter 3 of Part 2 of the bill.*

Amendment 220, in schedule 18, page 247, line 40, at end insert—

*“Licensing Act 2003 (Personal Licences) Regulations 2005 (S.I. 2005/41)*

199A (1) Regulation 7 of the Licensing Act 2003 (Personal Licences) Regulations 2005 (application for grant of a personal licence) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (1)(b)—

(a) for paragraph (iii) (but not the final “, and”) substitute—

“(iii) the results of a request made under Article 15 of the GDPR or section 45 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (rights of access by the data subject) to the National Identification Service for information contained in the Police National Computer”, and

(b) in the words following paragraph (iii), omit “search”.

(3) After paragraph (2) insert—

“(3) In this regulation, “the GDPR” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act).”

*Education (Pupil Information) (England) Regulations 2005 (S.I. 2005/11437)*

199B The Education (Pupil Information) (England) Regulations 2005 are amended as follows.

199C In regulation 3(5) (meaning of educational record) for “section 1(1) of the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “section 3(4) of the Data Protection Act 2018”.

199D (1) Regulation 5 (disclosure of curricular and educational records) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (4)—

(a) in sub-paragraph (a), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the GDPR”, and

(b) in sub-paragraph (b), for “that Act or by virtue of any order made under section 30(2) or section 38(1) of the Act” substitute “the GDPR”.

(3) After paragraph (6) insert—

“(7) In this regulation, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018.”

*This amendment makes consequential amendments to secondary legislation.*

Amendment 221, in schedule 18, page 248, line 37, leave out from “GDPR” to “(see” in line 38 and insert “and references to a provision of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act”

*This amendment makes clear that in regulation 45 of the Civil Contingencies Act 2004 (Contingency Planning) Regulations 2005 references to a provision of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the bill include that provision as applied by Chapter 3 of Part 2 of the bill.*

Amendment 222, in schedule 18, page 249, line 1, at end insert—

*Register of Judgments, Orders and Fines Regulations 2005 (S.I. 2005/3595)*

200A In regulation 3 of the Register of Judgments, Orders and Fines Regulations 2005 (interpretation)—

(a) for the definition of “data protection principles” substitute—

““data protection principles” means the principles set out in Article 5(1) of the GDPR;”, and

(b) at the appropriate place insert—

““the GDPR” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act);”.

*Civil Contingencies Act 2004 (Contingency Planning) (Scotland) Regulations 2005 (S.S.I. 2005/494)*

200B The Civil Contingencies Act 2004 (Contingency Planning) (Scotland) Regulations 2005 are amended as follows.

200C (1) Regulation 39 (sensitive information) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (1)(d)—

(a) omit “, within the meaning of section 1(1) of the Data Protection Act 1998”, and

(b) for “(2) or (3)” substitute “(1A), (1B) or (1C)”.

(3) After paragraph (1) insert—

“(1A) The condition in this paragraph is that the disclosure of the information to a member of the public—

(a) would contravene any of the data protection principles, or

(b) would do so if the exemptions in section 24(1) of the Data Protection Act 2018 (manual unstructured data held by public authorities) were disregarded.

(1B) The condition in this paragraph is that the disclosure of the information to a member of the public would contravene—

(a) Article 21 of the GDPR (general processing: right to object to processing), or

(b) section 99 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (intelligence services processing: right to object to processing).

(1C) The condition in this paragraph is that—

(a) on a request under Article 15(1) of the GDPR (general processing: right of access by the data subject) for access to personal data, the information would be withheld in reliance on provision made by or under section 15, 16 or 26 of, or Schedule 2, 3 or 4 to, the Data Protection Act 2018,

(b) on a request under section 45(1)(b) of that Act (law enforcement processing: right of access by the data subject), the information would be withheld in reliance on subsection (4) of that section, or

(c) on a request under section 94(1)(b) of that Act (intelligence services processing: rights of access by the data subject), the information would be withheld in reliance on a provision of Chapter 6 of Part 4 of that Act.

(1D) In this regulation—

“the data protection principles” means the principles set out in—

(a) Article 5(1) of the GDPR,

(b) section 34(1) of the Data Protection Act 2018, and

(c) section 85(1) of that Act;

“data subject” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3 of that Act);

“the GDPR” and references to a provision of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act);

“personal data” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(2) and (14) of that Act).

(1E) In determining for the purposes of this regulation whether the lawfulness principle in Article 5(1)(a) of the GDPR would be contravened by the disclosure of information, Article 6(1) of the GDPR (lawfulness) is to be read as if the second sub-paragraph (disapplying the legitimate interests gateway in relation to public authorities) were omitted.”

(4) Omit paragraphs (2) to (4).

*National Assembly for Wales (Representation of the People) Order 2007 (S.I. 2007/236)*

200D (1) Paragraph 14 of Schedule 1 to the National Assembly for Wales (Representation of the People) Order 2007 (absent voting at Assembly elections: conditions on the use, supply and inspection of absent vote records or lists) is amended as follows.

(2) The existing text becomes sub-paragraph (1).

(3) For paragraph (a) of that sub-paragraph (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(a) purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”,

(4) After that sub-paragraph insert—

“(2) In this paragraph, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).”

*Mental Capacity Act 2005 (Loss of Capacity during Research Project) (England) Regulations 2007 (S.I. 2007/679)*

200E In regulation 3 of the Mental Capacity Act 2005 (Loss of Capacity during Research Project) (England) Regulations 2007 (research which may be carried out despite a participant’s loss of capacity), for paragraph (b) substitute—

“(b) any material used consists of or includes human cells or human DNA.”.

*National Assembly for Wales Commission (Crown Status) Order 2007 (S.I. 2007/1118)*

200F For article 5 of the National Assembly for Wales Commission (Crown Status) Order 2007 substitute—

“5 Data Protection Act 2018

(1) The Assembly Commission is to be treated as a Crown body for the purposes of the Data Protection Act 2018 to the extent specified in this article.

(2) The Assembly Commission is to be treated as a government department for the purposes of the following provisions—

(a) section 8(d) (lawfulness of processing under the GDPR: public interest etc),

(b) section 202 (application to the Crown),

(c) paragraph 6 of Schedule 1 (statutory etc and government purposes),

(d) paragraph 7 of Schedule 2 (exemptions from the GDPR: functions designed to protect the public etc), and

(e) paragraph 8(1)(o) of Schedule 3 (exemptions from the GDPR: health data).

(3) In the provisions mentioned in paragraph (4)—

(a) references to employment by or under the Crown are to be treated as including employment as a member of staff of the Assembly Commission, and

(b) references to a person in the service of the Crown are to be treated as including a person so employed.

(4) The provisions are—

(a) section 24(3) (exemption for certain data relating to employment under the Crown), and

(b) section 202(6) (application of certain provisions to a person in the service of the Crown).

(5) In this article, references to a provision of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(14) of that Act).”

*Mental Capacity Act 2005 (Loss of Capacity during Research Project) (Wales) Regulations 2007 (S.I. 2007/837 (W.72))*

200G In regulation 3 of the Mental Capacity Act 2005 (Loss of Capacity during Research Project) (Wales) Regulations 2007 (research which may be carried out despite a participant’s loss of capacity) —

(a) in the English language text, for paragraph (c) substitute—

“(c) any material used consists of or includes human cells or human DNA; and”, and

(b) in the Welsh language text, for paragraph (c) substitute—

“(c) os yw unrhyw ddeunydd a ddefnyddir yn gelloedd dynol neu’n DNA dynol neu yn eu cynnwys; ac”.

*Representation of the People (Absent Voting at Local Elections) (Scotland) Regulations 2007 (S.S.I. 2007/1170)*

200H (1) Regulation 18 of the Representation of the People (Absent Voting at Local Elections) (Scotland) Regulations 2007 (conditions on the supply and inspection of absent voter records or lists) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (1), for sub-paragraph (a) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

“(a) purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”.

(3) After paragraph (1) insert—

“(2) In this regulation, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).”

*Representation of the People (Post-Local Government Elections Supply and Inspection of Documents) (Scotland) Regulations 2007 (S.S.I. 2007/264)*

200I In regulation 5 of the Representation of the People (Post-Local Government Elections Supply and Inspection of Documents) (Scotland) Regulations 2007 (conditions on the use, supply and disclosure of documents open to public inspection)—

(a) in paragraph (2), for sub-paragraph (i) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(i) purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”, and

(b) after paragraph (3) insert—

“(4) In this regulation, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).”

*Education (Pupil Records and Reporting) (Transitional) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007 (S.R. (N.I.) 2007 No. 43)*

200J The Education (Pupil Records and Reporting) (Transitional) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007 is amended as follows.

200K In regulation 2 (interpretation), at the appropriate place insert—

““the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018;”.

200L In regulation 10(2) (duties of Boards of Governors), for “documents which are the subject of an order under section 30(2) of the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “information to which the pupil to whom the information relates would have no right of access under the GDPR”.

*Representation of the People (Northern Ireland) Regulations 2008 (S.I. 2008/1741)*

200M In regulation 118 of the Representation of the People (Northern Ireland) Regulations 2008 (conditions on the use, supply and disclosure of documents open to public inspection)—

(a) in paragraph (2), for “research purposes within the meaning of that term in section 33 of the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics)”, and

(b) after paragraph (3) insert—

“(4) In this regulation, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).”

*Companies Act 2006 (Extension of Takeover Panel Provisions) (Isle of Man) Order 2008 (S.I. 2008/3122)*

200N In paragraph 1(c) of the Schedule to the Companies Act 2006 (Extension of Takeover Panel Provisions) (Isle of Man) Order 2008 (modifications with which Chapter 1 of Part 28 of the Companies Act 2006 extends to the Isle of Man), for “the Data Protection Act 1998 (c 29)” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

*Controlled Drugs (Supervision of Management and Use) (Wales) Regulations 2008 (S.I. 2008/3239 (W.286))*

200O The Controlled Drugs (Supervision of Management and Use) (Wales) Regulations 2008 are amended as follows.

200P In regulation 2(1) (interpretation)—

(a) at the appropriate place in the English language text insert—

““the GDPR” (“y GDPR”) and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act);”, and

(b) at the appropriate place in the Welsh language text insert—

“mae i “y GDPR” a chyfeiriadau at Atodlen 2 i Ddeddf Diogelu Data 2018 yr un ystyr ag a roddir i “the GDPR” a chyfeiriadau at yr Atodlen honno yn Rhannau 5 i 7 o’r Ddeddf honno (gweler adran 3(10), (11) a (14) o’r Ddeddf honno);”.

200Q (1) Regulation 25 (duty to co-operate by disclosing information as regards relevant persons) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (7)—

(a) in the English language text, at the end insert “or the GDPR”, and

(b) in the Welsh language text, at the end insert “neu’r GDPR”.

(3) For paragraph (8)—

(a) in the English language text substitute—

“(8) In determining for the purposes of paragraph (7) whether disclosure is prohibited, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to that Act (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by this regulation.”, and

(b) in the Welsh language text substitute—

“(8) Wrth benderfynu at ddbenion paragraff (7) a yw datgeliad wedi’i wahardd, mae i’w dybied at ddbenion paragraff 5(2) o Atodlen 2 i Ddeddf Diogelu Data 2018 a pharagraff 3(2) o Atodlen 11 i’r Ddeddf honno (esemptiadau rhag darpariaethau penodol o’r ddeddfwriaeth diogelu data: datgeliadau sy’n ofynnol gan y gyfraith) bod y datgeliad yn ofynnol gan y rheoliad hwn.”

200R (1) Regulation 26 (responsible bodies requesting additional information be disclosed about relevant persons) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (6)—

- (a) in the English language text, at the end insert “or the GDPR”, and
- (b) in the Welsh language text, at the end insert “neu'r GDPR”.

(3) For paragraph (7)—

- (a) in the English language text substitute—

“(7) In determining for the purposes of paragraph (6) whether disclosure is prohibited, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to that Act (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by this regulation.”, and

- (b) in the Welsh language text substitute—

“(7) Wrth benderfynu at ddibenion paragraff (6) a yw datgeliad wedi'i wahardd, mae i'w dybied at ddibenion paragraff 5(2) o Atodlen 2 i Ddeddf Diogelu Data 2018 a pharagraff 3(2) o Atodlen 11 i'r Ddeddf honno (esemptiadau rhag darpariaethau penodol o'r ddeddfwriaeth diogelu data: datgeliadau sy'n ofynnol gan y gyfraith) bod y datgeliad yn ofynnol gan y rheoliad hwn.”

200S (1) Regulation 29 (occurrence reports) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (3)—

- (a) in the English language text, at the end insert “or the GDPR”, and
- (b) in the Welsh language text, at the end insert “neu'r GDPR”.

(3) For paragraph (4)—

- (a) in the English language text substitute—

“(4) In determining for the purposes of paragraph (3) whether disclosure is prohibited, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to that Act (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by this regulation.”, and

- (b) in the Welsh language text substitute—

“(4) Wrth benderfynu at ddibenion paragraff (3) a yw datgeliad wedi'i wahardd, mae i'w dybied at ddibenion paragraff 5(2) o Atodlen 2 i Ddeddf Diogelu Data 2018 a pharagraff 3(2) o Atodlen 11 i'r Ddeddf honno (esemptiadau rhag darpariaethau penodol o'r ddeddfwriaeth diogelu data: datgeliadau sy'n ofynnol gan y gyfraith) bod y datgeliad yn ofynnol gan y rheoliad hwn.”

*Energy Order 2003 (Supply of Information) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2008 (S.R. (N.I.) 2008 No. 3)*

200T (1) Regulation 5 of the Energy Order 2003 (Supply of Information) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2008 (information whose disclosure would be affected by the application of other legislation) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (3)—

- (a) omit “within the meaning of section 1(1) of the Data Protection Act 1998”, and
- (b) for the words from “where” to the end substitute “if the condition in paragraph (3A) or (3B) is satisfied”.

(3) After paragraph (3) insert—

“(3A) The condition in this paragraph is that the disclosure of the information to a member of the public—

- (a) would contravene any of the data protection principles, or
- (b) would do so if the exemptions in section 24(1) of the Data Protection Act 2018 (manual unstructured data held by public authorities) were disregarded.

(3B) The condition in this paragraph is that the disclosure of the information to a member of the public would contravene—

- (a) Article 21 of the GDPR (general processing: right to object to processing), or
- (b) section 99 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (intelligence services processing: right to object to processing).”

(4) After paragraph (4) insert—

“(5) In this regulation—

“the data protection principles” means the principles set out in—

- (a) Article 5(1) of the GDPR,
- (b) section 34(1) of the Data Protection Act 2018, and
- (c) section 85(1) of that Act;

“the GDPR” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act);

“personal data” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(2) and (14) of that Act).”

*Companies (Disclosure of Address) Regulations 2009 (S.I. 2009/214)*

200U (1) Paragraph 6 of Schedule 2 to the Companies (Disclosure of Address) Regulations 2009 (conditions for permitted disclosure to a credit reference agency) is amended as follows.

(2) The existing text becomes sub-paragraph (1).

(3) In paragraph (b) of that sub-paragraph, for sub-paragraph (ii) substitute—

(i) for the purposes of ensuring that it complies with its data protection obligations;”.

(4) In paragraph (c) of that sub-paragraph—

(a) omit “or” at the end of sub-paragraph (i), and

(b) at the end insert “; or

(i) section 145 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (false statements made in response to an information notice);”.

(5) After paragraph (c) of that sub-paragraph insert—

“(d) has not been given a penalty notice under section 154 of the Data Protection Act 2018 in circumstances described in paragraph (c)(ii), other than a penalty notice that has been cancelled.”

(6) After sub-paragraph (1) insert—

“(2) In this paragraph, “data protection obligations”, in relation to a credit reference agency, means—

(a) where the agency carries on business in the United Kingdom, obligations under the data protection legislation (as defined in section 3 of the Data Protection Act 2018);

(b) where the agency carries on business in a EEA State other than the United Kingdom, obligations under—

(i) the GDPR (as defined in section 3(10) of the Data Protection Act 2018),

(ii) legislation made in exercise of powers conferred on member States under the GDPR (as so defined), and

(iii) legislation implementing the Law Enforcement Directive (as defined in section 3(12) of the Data Protection Act 2018).”

*Overseas Companies Regulations 2009 (S.I. 2009/1801)*

200V (1) Paragraph 6 of Schedule 2 to the Overseas Companies Regulations 2009 (conditions for permitted disclosure to a credit reference agency) is amended as follows.

(2) The existing text becomes sub-paragraph (1).

(3) In paragraph (b) of that sub-paragraph, for sub-paragraph (ii) substitute—

(i) for the purposes of ensuring that it complies with its data protection obligations;”.

(4) In paragraph (c) of that sub-paragraph—

(a) omit “or” at the end of sub-paragraph (i), and

(b) at the end insert “; or

(i) section 145 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (false statements made in response to an information notice);”.

(5) After paragraph (c) of that sub-paragraph insert—

“(d) has not been given a penalty notice under section 154 of the Data Protection Act 2018 in circumstances described in paragraph (c)(ii), other than a penalty notice that has been cancelled.”

(6) After sub-paragraph (1) insert—

“(2) In this paragraph, “data protection obligations”, in relation to a credit reference agency, means—

(a) where the agency carries on business in the United Kingdom, obligations under the data protection legislation (as defined in section 3 of the Data Protection Act 2018);

(b) where the agency carries on business in a EEA State other than the United Kingdom, obligations under—

(i) the GDPR (as defined in section 3(10) of the Data Protection Act 2018),

(ii) legislation made in exercise of powers conferred on member States under the GDPR (as so defined), and

(iii) legislation implementing the Law Enforcement Directive (as defined in section 3(12) of the Data Protection Act 2018).”

*Provision of Services Regulations 2009 (S.I. 2009/2999)*

200W In regulation 25 of the Provision of Services Regulations 2009 (derogations from the freedom to provide services), for paragraph (d) substitute—

“(d) matters covered by Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation);”.

*This amendment makes consequential amendments to secondary legislation including to the National Assembly for Wales Commission (Crown Status) Order 2007.*

Amendment 223, in schedule 18, page 249, line 32, at end insert—

*“INSPIRE (Scotland) Regulations 2009 (S.S.I. 2009/440)*

201A (1) Regulation 10 of the INSPIRE (Scotland) Regulations 2009 (public access to spatial data sets and spatial data services) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (2)—

(a) omit “or” at the end of sub-paragraph (a),

(b) for sub-paragraph (b) substitute—

“(b) Article 21 of the GDPR (general processing: right to object to processing), or

(c) section 99 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (intelligence services processing: right to object to processing).”, and

(c) omit the words following sub-paragraph (b).

(3) After paragraph (6) insert—

“(7) In this regulation—

“the data protection principles” means the principles set out in—

(a) Article 5(1) of the GDPR,

(b) section 34(1) of the Data Protection Act 2018, and

(c) section 85(1) of that Act;

“the GDPR” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act);

“personal data” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(2) and (14) of that Act).

(8) In determining for the purposes of this regulation whether the lawfulness principle in Article 5(1)(a) of the GDPR would be contravened by the disclosure of information, Article 6(1) of the

GDPR (lawfulness) is to be read as if the second sub-paragraph (disapplying the legitimate interests gateway in relation to public authorities) were omitted.”

*Controlled Drugs (Supervision of Management and Use) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2009 (S.R. (N.I.) 2009 No. 225)*

201B The Controlled Drugs (Supervision of Management and Use) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2009 are amended as follows.

201C In regulation 2(2) (interpretation), at the appropriate place insert—

““the GDPR” and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act);”.

201D (1) Regulation 25 (duty to co-operate by disclosing information as regards relevant persons) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (7), at the end insert “or the GDPR”.

(3) For paragraph (8) substitute—

“(8) In determining for the purposes of paragraph (7) whether disclosure is prohibited, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to that Act (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by this regulation.”

201E (1) Regulation 26 (responsible bodies requesting additional information be disclosed about relevant persons) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (6), at the end insert “or the GDPR”.

(3) For paragraph (7) substitute—

“(7) In determining for the purposes of paragraph (6) whether disclosure is prohibited, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to that Act (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by this regulation.”

201F (1) Regulation 29 (occurrence reports) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (3), at the end insert “or the GDPR”.

(3) For paragraph (4) substitute—

“(4) In determining for the purposes of paragraph (3) whether disclosure is prohibited, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to that Act (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by this regulation.”

*Pharmacy Order 2010 (S.I. 2010/231)*

201G The Pharmacy Order 2010 is amended as follows.

201H In article 3(1) (interpretation), omit the definition of “Directive 95/46/EC”.

201I (1) Article 9 (inspection and enforcement) is amended as follows.

(2) For paragraph (4) substitute—

“(4) If a report that the Council proposes to publish pursuant to paragraph (3) includes personal data, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to that Act (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure of the personal data is required by paragraph (3) of this article.”

(3) After paragraph (4) insert—

“(5) In this article, “personal data” and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(2) and (14) of that Act).”

201J In article 33A (European professional card), after paragraph (2) insert—

“(3) In Schedule 2A, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018.”

201K (1) Article 49 (disclosure of information: general) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (2)(a), after “enactment” insert “or the GDPR”.

(3) For paragraph (3) substitute—

“(3) In determining for the purposes of paragraph (2)(a) whether a disclosure is prohibited, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by paragraph (1) of this article.”

(4) After paragraph (5) insert—

“(6) In this article, “the GDPR” and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act).”

201L (1) Article 55 (professional performance assessments) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (5)(a), after “enactment” insert “or the GDPR”.

(3) For paragraph (6) substitute—

“(6) In determining for the purposes of paragraph (5)(a) whether a disclosure is prohibited, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by paragraph (4) of this article.”

(4) After paragraph (8) insert—

“(9) In this article, “the GDPR” and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act).”

201M In article 67(6) (Directive 2005/36/EC: designation of competent authority etc.), after sub-paragraph (a) insert—

“(aa) “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018;”.

201N (1) Schedule 2A (Directive 2005/36/EC: European professional card) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph 8(1) (access to data), for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

(3) In paragraph 9 (processing data)—

(a) omit sub-paragraph (2) (deeming the Council to be the controller for the purposes of Directive 95/46/EC), and

(b) after sub-paragraph (2) insert—

“(3) In this paragraph, “personal data” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(2) of that Act).”

201O (1) The table in Schedule 3 (Directive 2005/36/EC: designation of competent authority etc.) is amended as follows.

(2) In the entry for Article 56(2), in the second column, for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

(3) In the entry for Article 56a(4), in the second column, for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

*National Employment Savings Trust Order 2010 (S.I. 2010/917)*

201P The National Employment Savings Trust Order 2010 is amended as follows.

201Q In article 2 (interpretation)—

(a) omit the definition of “data” and “personal data”, and

(b) at the appropriate place insert—

““personal data” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(2) and (14) of that Act).”

201R (1) Article 10 (disclosure of requested data to the Secretary of State) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (1)—

(a) for “disclosure of data” substitute “disclosure of information”, and

(b) for “requested data” substitute “requested information”.

(3) In paragraph (2)—

(a) for “requested data” substitute “requested information”,

(b) for “those data are” substitute “the information is”, and

(c) for “receive those data” substitute “receive that information”.

(4) In paragraph (3), for “requested data” substitute “requested information”.

(5) In paragraph (4), for “requested data” substitute “requested information”.

*Local Elections (Northern Ireland) Order 2010 (S.I. 2010/2977)*

201S (1) Schedule 3 to the Local Elections (Northern Ireland) Order 2010 (access to marked registers and other documents open to public inspection after an election) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph 1(1) (interpretation and general)—

(a) omit the definition of “research purposes”, and

(b) at the appropriate places insert—

““Article 89 GDPR purposes” means the purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”;

““the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation);”.

(3) In paragraph 5(3) (restrictions on the use, supply and disclosure of documents open to public inspection), for “research purposes” substitute “Article 89 GDPR purposes”.

*Pupil Information (Wales) Regulations 2011 (S.I. 2011/1942 (W.209))*

201T (1) Regulation 5 of the Pupil Information (Wales) Regulations 2011 (duties of head teacher - educational records) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (5)—

(a) in the English language text, for “documents which are subject to any order under section 30(2) of the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “information—

(a) which the head teacher could not lawfully disclose to the pupil under the GDPR, or

(b) to which the pupil would have no right of access under the GDPR.”, and

(b) in the Welsh language text, for “ddogfennau sy'n ddarostyngedig i unrhyw orchymyn o dan adran 30(2) o Ddeddf Diogelu Data 1998” substitute “wybodaeth—

(a) na allai'r pennaeth ei datgelu'n gyfreithlon i'r disgybl o dan y GDPR, neu

(b) na fyddai gan y disgybl hawl mynediad ati o dan y GDPR.”

(3) After paragraph (5)—

(a) in the English language text insert—

“(6) In this regulation, “the GDPR” (“y GDPR”) means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018.”, and

(b) in the Welsh language text insert—

“(6) Yn y rheoliad hwn, ystyr “y GDPR” (“the GDPR”) yw Rheoliad (EU) 2016/679 Senedd Ewrop a'r Cyngor dyddiedig 27 Ebrill 2016 ar ddiogelu personau naturiol o ran prosesu data personol a rhyddid symud data o'r fath (y Rheoliad Diogelu Data Cyffredinol), fel y'i darllenir ynghyd â Phennod 2 o Ran 2 o Ddeddf Diogelu Data 2018.”

*Debt Arrangement Scheme (Scotland) Regulations 2011 (S.S.I. 2011/141)*

201U In Schedule 4 to the Debt Arrangement Scheme (Scotland) Regulations 2011 (payments distributors), omit paragraph 2.

*Police and Crime Commissioner Elections Order 2012 (S.I. 2012/1917)*

201V The Police and Crime Commissioner Elections Order 2012 is amended as follows.

201W (1) Schedule 2 (absent voting in Police and Crime Commissioner elections) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph 20 (absent voter lists: supply of copies etc)—

(a) in sub-paragraph (8), for paragraph (a) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(a) purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”, and

(b) after sub-paragraph (10) insert—

“(11) In this paragraph, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).”

(3) In paragraph 24 (restriction on use of absent voter records or lists or the information contained in them)—

(a) in sub-paragraph (3), for paragraph (a) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(a) purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”, and

(b) after that sub-paragraph insert—

“(4) In this paragraph, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).”

201X (1) Schedule 10 (access to marked registers and other documents open to public inspection after an election) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph 1(2) (interpretation), omit paragraphs (c) and (d) (but not the final “and”).

(3) In paragraph 5 (restriction on use of documents or of information contained in them)—

(a) in sub-paragraph (3), for paragraph (a) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(a) purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”, and

(b) after sub-paragraph (4) insert—

“(5) In this paragraph, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).”

*Neighbourhood Planning (Referendums) Regulations 2012 (S.I. 2012/2031)*

201Y Schedule 6 to the Neighbourhood Planning (Referendums) Regulations 2012 (registering to vote in a business referendum) is amended as follows.

201Z (1) Paragraph 29(1) (interpretation of Part 8) is amended as follows.

(2) At the appropriate places insert—

““Article 89 GDPR purposes” means the purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”;

““the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation);”;

(3) For the definition of “relevant conditions” substitute—

““relevant requirement” means the requirement under Article 89 of the GDPR, read with section 19 of the Data Protection Act 2018, that personal data processed for Article 89 GDPR purposes must be subject to appropriate safeguards;”;

(4) Omit the definition of “research purposes”.

201AA In paragraph 32(3)(b)(i), for “section 11(3) of the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “section 123(5) of the Data Protection Act 2018”.

201AB In paragraph 33(6) and (7) (supply of copy of business voting register to the British Library and restrictions on use), for “research purposes in compliance with the relevant conditions” substitute “Article 89 GDPR purposes in accordance with the relevant requirement”.

201AC In paragraph 34(6) and (7) (supply of copy of business voting register to the Office of National Statistics and restrictions on use), for “research purposes in compliance with the relevant conditions” substitute “Article 89 GDPR purposes in accordance with the relevant requirement”.

201AD In paragraph 39(8) and (97) (supply of copy of business voting register to public libraries and local authority archives services and restrictions on use), for “research purposes in compliance with the relevant conditions” substitute “Article 89 GDPR purposes in accordance with the relevant requirement”.

201AE In paragraph 45(2) (conditions on the use, supply and disclosure of documents open to public inspection), for paragraph (a) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(a) Article 89 GDPR purposes (as defined in paragraph 29);”.

*Controlled Drugs (Supervision of Management and Use) Regulations 2013 (S.I. 2013/373)*

201AF (1) Regulation 20 of the Controlled Drugs (Supervision of Management and Use) Regulations 2013 (information management) is amended as follows.

(2) For paragraph (4) substitute—

“(4) Where a CDAO, a responsible body or someone acting on their behalf is permitted to share information which includes personal data by virtue of a function under these Regulations, it is to be assumed for the purposes of paragraph 5(2) of Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 and paragraph 3(2) of Schedule 11 to that Act (exemptions from certain provisions of the data protection legislation: disclosures required by law) that the disclosure is required by this regulation.”

(3) In paragraph (5), after “enactment” insert “or the GDPR”.

(4) After paragraph (6) insert—

“(7) In this regulation, “the GDPR”, “personal data” and references to Schedule 2 to the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(2), (10), (11) and (14) of that Act).”

*Communications Act 2003 (Disclosure of Information) Order 2014 (S.I. 2014/1825)*

201AG (1) Article 3 of the Communications Act 2003 (Disclosure of Information) Order 2014 (specification of relevant functions) is amended as follows.

(2) The existing text becomes paragraph (1).

(3) In that paragraph, in sub-paragraph (a), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

(4) After that paragraph insert—

“(2) In this article, “the data protection legislation” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3 of that Act).”

*This amendment makes consequential amendments to secondary legislation.*

Amendment 224, in schedule 18, page 250, line 7, at end insert—

*“Companies (Disclosure of Date of Birth Information) Regulations 2015 (S.I. 2015/1694)*

204A (1) Paragraph 6 of Schedule 2 to the Companies (Disclosure of Date of Birth Information) Regulations 2015 (conditions for permitted disclosure to a credit reference agency) is amended as follows.

(2) The existing text becomes sub-paragraph (1).

(3) In paragraph (b) of that sub-paragraph, for sub-paragraph (ii) substitute—

(i) for the purposes of ensuring that it complies with its data protection obligations;”.

(4) In paragraph (c) of that sub-paragraph—

(a) omit “or” at the end of sub-paragraph (i), and

(b) at the end insert “; or

(i) section 145 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (false statements made in response to an information notice);”.

(5) After paragraph (c) of that sub-paragraph insert—

“(d) has not been given a penalty notice under section 154 of the Data Protection Act 2018 in circumstances described in paragraph (c)(ii), other than a penalty notice that has been cancelled.”

(6) After sub-paragraph (1) insert—

“(2) In this paragraph, “data protection obligations”, in relation to a credit reference agency, means—

(a) where the agency carries on business in the United Kingdom, obligations under the data protection legislation (as defined in section 3 of the Data Protection Act 2018);

(b) where the agency carries on business in a EEA State other than the United Kingdom, obligations under—

(i) the GDPR (as defined in section 3(10) of the Data Protection Act 2018),

(ii) legislation made in exercise of powers conferred on member States under the GDPR (as so defined), and

(iii) legislation implementing the Law Enforcement Directive (as defined in section 3(12) of the Data Protection Act 2018).”

*Small and Medium Sized Business (Credit Information) Regulations 2015 (S.I. 2015/1945)*

204B The Small and Medium Sized Business (Credit Information) Regulations 2015 are amended as follows.

204C (1) Regulation 12 (criteria for the designation of a credit reference agency) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (1)(b), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

(3) After paragraph (2) insert—

“(3) In this regulation, “the data protection legislation” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3 of that Act).”

204D (1) Regulation 15 (access to and correction of information for individuals and small firms) is amended as follows.

(2) For paragraph (1) substitute—

“(1) Section 13 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (rights of the data subject under the GDPR: obligations of credit reference agencies) applies in respect of a designated credit reference agency which is not a credit reference agency within the meaning of section 145(8) of the Consumer Credit Act 1974 as if it were such an agency.”

(3) After paragraph (3) insert—

“(4) In this regulation, the reference to section 13 of the Data Protection Act 2018 has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(14) of that Act).”

*European Union (Recognition of Professional Qualifications) Regulations 2015 (S.I. 2015/2059)*

204E The European Union (Recognition of Professional Qualifications) Regulations 2015 are amended as follows.

204F (1) Regulation 2(1) (interpretation) is amended as follows.

(2) Omit the definition of “Directive 95/46/EC”.

(3) At the appropriate place insert—

““the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation), read with Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018;”.

204G In regulation 5(5) (functions of competent authorities in the United Kingdom) for “Directives 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR and Directive”.

204H In regulation 45(3) (processing and access to data regarding the European Professional Card), for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

204I In regulation 46(1) (processing and access to data regarding the European Professional Card), for “Directive 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR”.

204J In regulation 48(2) (processing and access to data regarding the European Professional Card), omit paragraph (2) (deeming the relevant designated competent authorities to be controllers for the purposes of Directive 95/46/EC).

204K In regulation 66(3) (exchange of information), for “Directives 95/46/EC” substitute “the GDPR and Directive”.

*Scottish Parliament (Elections etc) Order 2015 (S.S.I. 2015/425)*

204L The Scottish Parliament (Elections etc) Order 2015 is amended as follows.

204M (1) Schedule 3 (absent voting) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph 16 (absent voting lists: supply of copies etc)—

(a) in sub-paragraph (4), for paragraph (a) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(a) purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”, and

(b) after sub-paragraph (10) insert—

“(11) In this paragraph, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).”

(3) In paragraph 20 (restriction on use of absent voting lists)—

(a) in sub-paragraph (3), for paragraph (a) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(a) purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”, and

(b) after that sub-paragraph insert—

“(4) In this paragraph, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).”

204N (1) Schedule 8 (access to marked registers and other documents open to public inspection after an election) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph 1(2) (interpretation), omit paragraphs (c) and (d) (but not the final “and”).

(3) In paragraph 5 (restriction on use of documents or of information contained in them)—

(a) in sub-paragraph (3), for paragraph (a) (but not the final “or”) substitute—

(a) purposes mentioned in Article 89(1) of the GDPR (archiving in the public interest, scientific or historical research and statistics);”, and

(b) after sub-paragraph (4) insert—

“(5) In this paragraph, “the GDPR” means Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).”

*Recall of MPs Act 2015 (Recall Petition) Regulations 2016 (S.I. 2016/295)*

204O In paragraph 1(3) of Schedule 3 to the Recall of MPs Act 2015 (Recall Petition) Regulations 2016 (access to marked registers after a petition), omit the definition of “relevant conditions”.

*Register of People with Significant Control Regulations 2016 (S.I. 2016/339)*

204P Schedule 4 to the Register of People with Significant Control Regulations 2016 (conditions for permitted disclosure) is amended as follows.

204Q (1) Paragraph 6 (disclosure to a credit reference agency) is amended as follows.

(2) In sub-paragraph (b), for paragraph (ii) (together with the final “; and”) substitute—

(i) for the purposes of ensuring that it complies with its data protection obligations;”.

(3) In sub-paragraph (c)—

(a) omit “or” at the end of paragraph (ii), and

(b) at the end insert “; or

(i) section 145 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (false statements made in response to an information notice); and”.

(4) After sub-paragraph (c) insert—

“(d) has not been given a penalty notice under section 154 of the Data Protection Act 2018 in circumstances described in sub-paragraph (c)(iii), other than a penalty notice that has been cancelled.”

204R In paragraph 12A (disclosure to a credit institution or a financial institution), for sub-paragraph (b) substitute—

(b) for the purposes of ensuring that it complies with its data protection obligations.”

204S (1) In Part 3 (interpretation), after paragraph 13 insert—

14 In this Schedule, “data protection obligations”, in relation to a credit reference agency, a credit institution or a financial institution, means—

(a) where the agency or institution carries on business in the United Kingdom, obligations under the data protection legislation (as defined in section 3 of the Data Protection Act 2018);

(b) where the agency or institution carries on business in a EEA State other than the United Kingdom, obligations under—

(i) the GDPR (as defined in section 3(10) of the Data Protection Act 2018),

(ii) legislation made in exercise of powers conferred on member States under the GDPR (as so defined), and

(iii) legislation implementing the Law Enforcement Directive (as defined in section 3(12) of the Data Protection Act 2018).”

*Electronic Identification and Trust Services for Electronic Transactions Regulations 2016 (S.I. 2016/696)*

204T The Electronic Identification and Trust Services for Electronic Transactions Regulations 2016 are amended as follows.

204U In regulation 2(1) (interpretation), omit the definition of “the 1998 Act”.

204V In regulation 3(3) (supervision), omit “under the 1998 Act”.

204W For Schedule 2 substitute—

## SCHEDULE 2

### INFORMATION COMMISSIONER’S ENFORCEMENT POWERS

*Provisions applied for enforcement purposes*

1 For the purposes of enforcing these Regulations and the eIDAS Regulation, the following provisions of Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 apply with the modifications set out in paragraphs 2 to 24—

(a) section 140 (publication by the Commissioner);

(b) section 141 (notices from the Commissioner);

(c) section 143 (information notices);

(d) section 144 (information notices: restrictions);

(e) section 145 (false statements made in response to an information notice);

(f) section 146 (assessment notices);

(g) section 147 (assessment notices: restrictions);

(h) section 148 (enforcement notices);

(i) section 149 (enforcement notices: supplementary);

(j) section 151 (enforcement notices: restrictions);

(k) section 152 (enforcement notices: cancellation and variation);

(l) section 153 and Schedule 15 (powers of entry and inspection);

(m) section 154 and Schedule 16 (penalty notices);

(n) section 155(4)(a) (penalty notices: restrictions);

(o) section 156 (maximum amount of penalty);

(p) section 158 (amount of penalties: supplementary);

(q) section 159 (guidance about regulatory action);

(r) section 160 (approval of first guidance about regulatory action);

(s) section 161 (rights of appeal);

(t) section 162 (determination of appeals);

(u) section 179(1), (2), (5), (7) and (12) (regulations and consultation);

(v) section 189 (penalties for offences);

(w) section 190 (prosecution);

(x) section 195 (proceedings in the First-tier Tribunal: contempt);

(y) section 196 (Tribunal Procedure Rules).

*General modification of references to the Data Protection Act 2018*

2 The provisions listed in paragraph 1 have effect as if—

(a) references to the Data Protection Act 2018 were references to the provisions of that Act as applied by these Regulations;

(b) references to a particular provision of that Act were references to that provision as applied by these Regulations.

*Modification of section 143 (information notices)*

3 (1) Section 143 has effect as if subsections (9) and (10) were omitted.

(2) In that section, subsection (1) has effect as if—

(a) in paragraph (a)—

(i) for “controller or processor” there were substituted “trust service provider”;

(ii) for “the data protection legislation” there were substituted “the eIDAS Regulation and the EITSET Regulations”;

(b) paragraph (b) were omitted.

*Modification of section 144 (information notices: restrictions)*

4 (1) Section 144 has effect as if subsections (1) and (9) were omitted.

(2) In that section—

(a) subsections (3)(b) and (4)(b) have effect as if for “the data protection legislation” there were substituted “the eIDAS Regulation or the EITSET Regulations”;

(b) subsection (7)(a) has effect as if for “this Act” there were substituted “section 145 or paragraph 15 of Schedule 15”;

(c) subsection (8) has effect as if for “this Act (other than an offence under section 145)” there were substituted “paragraph 15 of Schedule 15”.

*Modification of section 146 (assessment notices)*

5 (1) Section 146 has effect as if subsection (10) were omitted.

(2) In that section—

- (a) subsection (1) has effect as if—
  - (i) for “controller or processor” (in both places) there were substituted “trust service provider”;
  - (ii) for “the data protection legislation” there were substituted “the eIDAS requirements”;
- (b) subsection (2) has effect as if paragraphs (g) and (h) were omitted;
- (c) subsections (7), (8) and (9) have effect as if for “controller or processor” (in each place) there were substituted “trust service provider”.

*Modification of section 147 (assessment notices: restrictions)*

6 (1) Section 147 has effect as if subsections (5) and (6) were omitted.

(2) In that section, subsections (2)(b) and (3)(b) have effect as if for “the data protection legislation” there were substituted “the eIDAS Regulation or the EITSET Regulations”.

*Modification of section 148 (enforcement notices)*

7 (1) Section 148 has effect as if subsections (2) to (5) and (7) to (9) were omitted.

(2) In that section—

- (a) subsection (1) has effect as if—
  - (i) for “as described in subsection (2), (3), (4) or (5)” there were substituted “to comply with the eIDAS requirements”;
  - (ii) for “sections 149 and 150” there were substituted “section 149”;
- (b) subsection (6) has effect as if the words “given in reliance on subsection (2), (3) or (5)” were omitted.

*Modification of section 149 (enforcement notices: supplementary)*

8 (1) Section 149 has effect as if subsection (3) were omitted.

(2) In that section, subsection (2) has effect as if the words “in reliance on section 148(2)” and “or distress” were omitted.

*Modification of section 151 (enforcement notices: restrictions)*

9 Section 151 has effect as if subsections (1), (2) and (4) were omitted.

*Withdrawal notices*

10 The provisions listed in paragraph 1 have effect as if after section 152 there were inserted—

*“Withdrawal notices*

## 152A Withdrawal notices

- (1) The Commissioner may, by written notice (a “withdrawal notice”), withdraw the qualified status from a trust service provider, or the qualified status of a service provided by a trust service provider, if—
  - (a) the Commissioner is satisfied that the trust service provider has failed to comply with an information notice or an enforcement notice, and
  - (b) the condition in subsection (2) or (3) is met.
- (2) The condition in this subsection is met if the period for the trust service provider to appeal against the information notice or enforcement notice has ended without an appeal having been brought.
- (3) The condition in this subsection is met if an appeal against the information notice or enforcement notice has been brought and—
  - (a) the appeal and any further appeal in relation to the notice has been decided or has otherwise ended, and
  - (b) the time for appealing against the result of the appeal or further appeal has ended without another appeal having been brought.
- (4) A withdrawal notice must—
  - (a) state when the withdrawal takes effect, and
  - (b) provide information about the rights of appeal under section 161.”

*Modification of Schedule 15 (powers of entry and inspection)*

11 (1) Schedule 15 has effect as if paragraph 3 were omitted.

(2) Paragraph 1(1) of that Schedule (issue of warrants in connection with non-compliance and offences) has effect as if for paragraph (a) (but not the final “and”) there were substituted—

- (a) there are reasonable grounds for suspecting that—
  - (i) a trust service provider has failed or is failing to comply with the eIDAS requirements, or
  - (ii) an offence under section 145 or paragraph 15 of Schedule 15 has been or is being committed.”

(3) Paragraph 2 of that Schedule (issue of warrants in connection with assessment notices) has effect as if—

- (a) in sub-paragraph (1) and (2), for “controller or processor” there were substituted “trust service provider”;
- (b) in sub-paragraph (2), for “the data protection legislation” there were substituted “the eIDAS requirements”.

(4) Paragraph 5 of that Schedule (content of warrants) has effect as if—

- (a) in sub-paragraph (1)(c), for “the processing of personal data” there were substituted “the provision of trust services”;
- (b) in sub-paragraph (2)(c)—
  - (i) for “controller or processor” there were substituted “trust service provider”;
  - (ii) for “as described in section 148(2)” there were substituted “to comply with the eIDAS requirements”;
- (c) in sub-paragraph (3)(a) and (c)—
  - (i) for “controller or processor” there were substituted “trust service provider”;
  - (ii) for “the data protection legislation” there were substituted “the eIDAS requirements”.

(5) Paragraph 11 of that Schedule (privileged communications) has effect as if, in sub-paragraphs (1)(b) and (2)(b), for “the data protection legislation” there were substituted “the eIDAS Regulation or the EITSET Regulations”.

*Modification of section 154 (penalty notices)*

12 (1) Section 154 has effect as if subsections (1)(a), (2)(a), (3)(g), (3A) and (5) to (7) were omitted.

(2) Subsection (2) of that section has effect as if—

- (a) the words “Subject to subsection (3A),” were omitted;
- (b) in paragraph (b), the words “to the extent that the notice concerns another matter,” were omitted.

(3) Subsection (3) of that section has effect as if—

- (a) for “controller or processor”, in each place, there were substituted “trust services provider”;
- (b) in paragraph (c), the words “or distress” were omitted;
- (c) in paragraph (c), for “data subjects” there were substituted “relying parties”;
- (d) in paragraph (d), for “section 57, 66, 103 or 107” there were substituted “Article 19(1) of the eIDAS Regulation”.

*Modification of Schedule 16 (penalties)*

13 Schedule 16 has effect as if paragraphs 3(2)(b) and 5(2)(b) were omitted.

*Modification of section 156 (maximum amount of penalty)*

14 Section 156 has effect as if subsections (1) to (3) and (6) were omitted.

*Modification of section 158 (amount of penalties: supplementary)*

15 Section 158 has effect as if—

- (a) in subsection (1), the words “Article 83 of the GDPR and” were omitted;
- (b) in subsection (2), the words “Article 83 of the GDPR” and “and section 157” were omitted.

*Modification of section 159 (guidance about regulatory action)*

16 (1) Section 159 has effect as if subsections (4) and (10) were omitted.

(2) In that section, subsection (3)(e) has effect as if for “controllers and processors” there were substituted “trust service providers”.

*Modification of section 161 (rights of appeal)*

17 (1) Section 161 has effect as if subsection (5) were omitted.

(2) In that section, subsection (1) has effect as if, after paragraph (c), there were inserted—

(ca) a withdrawal notice;”.

*Modification of section 162 (determination of appeals)*

18 Section 162 has effect as if subsection (7) were omitted.

*Modification of section 179 (regulations and consultation)*

19 Section 179 has effect as if subsections (3), (4), (6), (8) to (11) and (13) were omitted.

*Modification of section 189 (penalties for offences)*

20 (1) Section 189 has effect as if subsections (3) to (5) were omitted.

(2) In that section—

(a) subsection (1) has effect as if the words “section 119 or 173 or” were omitted;

(b) subsection (2) has effect as if for “section 132, 145, 170, 171 or 181” there were substituted “section 145”.

*Modification of section 190 (prosecution)*

21 Section 190 has effect as if subsections (3) to (6) were omitted.

*Modification of section 195 (proceedings in the First-tier Tribunal: contempt)*

22 Section 195 has effect as if in subsection (1)(a), for sub-paragraphs (i) and (ii) there were substituted “on an appeal under section 161”.

*Modification of section 196 (Tribunal Procedure Rules)*

23 Section 196 has effect as if—

(a) in subsection (1), for paragraphs (a) and (b) there were substituted “the exercise of the rights of appeal conferred by section 161”;

(b) in subsection (2)(a) and (b), for “the processing of personal data” there were substituted “the provision of trust services”.

*Approval of first guidance about regulatory action*

24 (1) This paragraph applies if the first guidance produced under section 159(1) of the Data Protection Act 2018 and the first guidance produced under that provision as applied by this Schedule are laid before Parliament as a single document (“the combined guidance”).

(2) Section 160 of that Act (including that section as applied by this Schedule) has effect as if the references to “the guidance” were references to the combined guidance, except in subsections (2)(b) and (4).

(3) Nothing in subsection (2)(a) of that section (including as applied by this Schedule) prevents another version of the combined guidance being laid before Parliament.

(4) Any duty under subsection (2)(b) of that section (including as applied by this Schedule) may be satisfied by producing another version of the combined guidance.

*Interpretation*

25 In this Schedule—

“the eIDAS requirements” means the requirements of Chapter III of the eIDAS Regulation;

“the EITSET Regulations” means these Regulations;

“withdrawal notice” has the meaning given in section 146A of the Data Protection Act 2018 (as inserted in that Act by this Schedule).”

*Court Files Privileged Access Rules (Northern Ireland) 2016 (S.R. (N.I.) 2016 No. 123)*

204X The Court Files Privileged Access Rules (Northern Ireland) 2016 are amended as follows.

204Y In rule 5 (information that may be released) for “Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “—

(a) Article 5(1) of the GDPR, and

(b) section 34(1) of the Data Protection Act 2018.”

204Z In rule 7(2) (provision of information) for “Schedule 1 of the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “—

(a) Article 5(1) of the GDPR, and

(b) section 34(1) of the Data Protection Act 2018.”

*Money Laundering, Terrorist Financing and Transfer of Funds (Information on the Payer) Regulations 2017 (S.I. 2017/692)*

204AA The Money Laundering, Terrorist Financing and Transfer of Funds (Information on the Payer) Regulations 2017 are amended as follows.

204AB In regulation 3(1) (interpretation), at the appropriate places insert—

““the data protection legislation” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3 of that Act);”;

““the GDPR” and references to provisions of Chapter 2 of Part 2 of the Data Protection Act 2018 have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(10), (11) and (14) of that Act);”.

204AC In regulation 16(8) (risk assessment by the Treasury and Home Office), for “the Data Protection Act 1998 or any other enactment” substitute “—

(a) the Data Protection Act 2018 or any other enactment, or

(b) the GDPR.”

204AD In regulation 17(9) (risk assessment by supervisory authorities), for “the Data Protection Act 1998 or any other enactment” substitute “—

(a) the Data Protection Act 2018 or any other enactment, or

(b) the GDPR.”

204AE For regulation 40(9)(c) (record keeping) substitute—

(c) “data subject” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3 of that Act);

(b) “personal data” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(2) and (14) of that Act).”

204AF (1) Regulation 41 (data protection) is amended as follows.

(2) Omit paragraph (2).

(3) In paragraph (3)(a), after “Regulations” insert “or the GDPR”.

(4) Omit paragraphs (4) and (5).

(5) After those paragraphs insert—

“(6) Before establishing a business relationship or entering into an occasional transaction with a new customer, as well as providing the customer with the information required under Article 13 of the GDPR (information to be provided where personal data are collected from the data subject), relevant persons must provide the customer with a statement that any personal data received from the customer will be processed only—

(a) for the purposes of preventing money laundering or terrorist financing, or

(b) as permitted under paragraph (3).

(7) In Article 6(1) of the GDPR (lawfulness of processing), the reference in point (e) to processing of personal data that is necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest includes processing of personal data in accordance with these Regulations that is necessary for the prevention of money laundering or terrorist financing.

(8) In the case of sensitive processing of personal data for the purposes of the prevention of money laundering or terrorist financing, section 10 of, and Schedule 1 to, the Data Protection Act 2018 make provision about when the processing meets a requirement in Article 9(2) or 10 of the GDPR for authorisation under the law of the United Kingdom (see, for example, paragraphs 9, 10 and 10A of that Schedule).

(9) In this regulation—

“data subject” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3 of that Act);

“personal data” and “processing” have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of that Act (see section 3(2), (4) and (14) of that Act);

“sensitive processing” means the processing of personal data described in Article 9(1) or 10 of the GDPR (special categories of personal data and personal data relating to criminal convictions and offences etc).”

204AG (1) Regulation 84 (publication: the Financial Conduct Authority) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (10), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

(3) For paragraph (11) substitute—

“(11) For the purposes of this regulation, “personal data” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(2) and (14) of that Act).”

204AH (1) Regulation 85 (publication: the Commissioners) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (9), for “the Data Protection Act 1998” substitute “the data protection legislation”.

(3) For paragraph (10) substitute—

“(10) For the purposes of this regulation, “personal data” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(2) and (14) of that Act).”

204AI For regulation 106(a) (general restrictions) substitute—

“(a) a disclosure in contravention of the data protection legislation; or”.

204AJ After paragraph 27 of Schedule 3 (relevant offences) insert—  
27A An offence under the Data Protection Act 2018, apart from an offence under section 173 of that Act.”

*Scottish Partnerships (Register of People with Significant Control) Regulations 2017 (S.I. 2017/694)*

204AK (1) Paragraph 6 of Schedule 5 to the Scottish Partnerships (Register of People with Significant Control) Regulations 2017 (conditions for permitted disclosure to a credit institution or a financial institution) is amended as follows.

(2) The existing text becomes sub-paragraph (1).

(3) For paragraph (b) of that sub-paragraph substitute—

(b) for the purposes of ensuring that it complies with its data protection obligations.”

(4) After sub-paragraph (1) insert—

“(2) In this paragraph, “data protection obligations”, in relation to a relevant institution, means—

(a) where the institution carries on business in the United Kingdom, obligations under the data protection legislation (as defined in section 3 of the Data Protection Act 2018);

(b) where the institution carries on business in a EEA State other than the United Kingdom, obligations under—

(i) the GDPR (as defined in section 3(10) of the Data Protection Act 2018),

(ii) legislation made in exercise of powers conferred on member States under the GDPR (as so defined), and

(iii) legislation implementing the Law Enforcement Directive (as defined in section 3(12) of the Data Protection Act 2018).

*National Health Service (General Medical Services Contracts) (Scotland) Regulations 2018 (S.S.I. 2018/66)*

204AL The National Health Service (General Medical Services Contracts) (Scotland) Regulations 2018 are amended as follows.

204AM (1) Regulation 1 (citation and commencement) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (2), omit “Subject to paragraph (3).”.

(3) Omit paragraph (3).

204AN In regulation 3(1) (interpretation)—

(a) omit the definition of “the 1998 Act”,

(b) at the appropriate place insert—

““the data protection legislation” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3 of that Act);”, and

(c) omit the definition of “GDPR”.

204AO (1) Schedule 6 (other contractual terms) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph 63(2) (interpretation: general), for “the 1998 Act or any directly applicable EU instrument relating to data protection” substitute “—

(a) the data protection legislation, or

(b) any directly applicable EU legislation which is not part of the data protection legislation but which relates to data protection.”

(3) For paragraph 64 (meaning of data controller etc.) substitute—  
“*Meaning of controller etc.*

64A For the purposes of this Part—

“controller” has the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(6) and (14) of that Act);

“data protection officer” means a person designated as a data protection officer under the data protection legislation;

“personal data” and “processing” have the same meaning as in Parts 5 to 7 of the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3(2), (4) and (14) of that Act).”

(4) In paragraph 65(2)(b) (roles, responsibilities and obligations: general), for “data controllers” substitute “controllers”.

(5) In paragraph 69(2)(a) (processing and access of data), for “the 1998 Act, and any directly applicable EU instrument relating to data protection;” substitute “—

(i) the data protection legislation, and

(ii) any directly applicable EU legislation which is not part of the data protection legislation but which relates to data protection;”.

(6) In paragraph 94(4) (variation of a contract: general)—

(a) omit paragraph (b), and

(b) after paragraph (d) (but before the final “and”) insert—

“(da) the data protection legislation;

(db) any directly applicable EU legislation which is not part of the data protection legislation but which relates to data protection;”.

*National Health Service (Primary Medical Services Section 17C Agreements) (Scotland) Regulations 2018 (S.S.I. 2018/67)*

204AP The National Health Service (Primary Medical Services Section 17C Agreements) (Scotland) Regulations 2018 are amended as follows.

204AQ (1) Regulation 1 (citation and commencement) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph (2), omit “Subject to paragraph (3).”.

(3) Omit paragraph (3).

204AR In regulation 3(1) (interpretation)—

(a) omit the definition of “the 1998 Act”, and

(b) at the appropriate place insert—

““the data protection legislation” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 2018 (see section 3 of that Act);”, and

(c) omit the definition of “GDPR”.

204AS (1) Schedule 1 (content of agreements) is amended as follows.

(2) In paragraph 34 (interpretation)—

(a) in sub-paragraph (1)—

(i) omit “Subject to sub-paragraph (3).”.

- (ii) before paragraph (a) insert—
- (iii) for paragraph (d) substitute—

- (b) omit sub-paragraphs (2) and (3),
- (c) in sub-paragraph (4), for “the 1998 Act and any directly applicable EU instrument relating to data protection” substitute “—
- (a) the data protection legislation, or
- (b) any directly applicable EU legislation which is not part of the data protection legislation but which relates to data protection.”, and
- (d) in sub-paragraph (6)(b), for “data controllers” substitute “controllers”.

(3) In paragraph 37(2)(a) (processing and access of data), for “the 1998 Act, and any directly applicable EU instrument relating to data protection;” substitute “—

- (i) the data protection legislation, and
- (ii) any directly applicable EU legislation which is not part of the data protection legislation but which relates to data protection;”.

(4) In paragraph 61(3) (variation of agreement: general)—

- (a) omit paragraph (b), and
- (b) after paragraph (d) (but before the final “and”) insert—  
“(da) the data protection legislation;
- (db) any directly applicable EU legislation which is not part of the data protection legislation but which relates to data protection;”.

### PART 3

#### MODIFICATIONS

##### Introduction

204AT (1) Unless the context otherwise requires, legislation described in sub-paragraph (2) has effect on and after the day on which this Part of this Schedule comes into force as if it were modified in accordance with this Part of this Schedule.

- (2) That legislation is—
  - (a) subordinate legislation made before the day on which this Part of this Schedule comes into force;
  - (b) primary legislation that is passed or made before the end of the Session in which this Act is passed.
- (3) In this Part of this Schedule—
  - “primary legislation” has the meaning given in section 204(7);
  - “references” includes any references, however expressed.

##### General modifications

204AU (1) References to a particular provision of, or made under, the Data Protection Act 1998 have effect as references to the equivalent provision or provisions of, or made under, the data protection legislation.

(2) Other references to the Data Protection Act 1998 have effect as references to the data protection legislation.

(3) References to disclosure, use or other processing of information that is prohibited or restricted by an enactment which include disclosure, use or other processing of information that is prohibited or restricted by the Data Protection Act 1998 have effect as if they included disclosure, use or other processing of information that is prohibited or restricted by the GDPR or the applied GDPR.

##### Specific modification of references to terms used in the Data Protection Act 1998

204AV (1) References to personal data, and to the processing of such data, as defined in the Data Protection Act 1998, have effect as references to personal data, and to the processing of such data, as defined for the purposes of Parts 5 to 7 of this Act (see section 3(2), (4) and (14)).

(2) References to processing as defined in the Data Protection Act 1998, in relation to information, have effect as references to processing as defined in section 3(4).

(3) References to a data subject as defined in the Data Protection Act 1998 have effect as references to a data subject as defined in section 3(5).

(4) References to a data controller as defined in the Data Protection Act 1998 have effect as references to a controller as defined for the purposes of Parts 5 to 7 of this Act (see section 3(6) and (14)).

(5) References to the data protection principles set out in the Data Protection Act 1998 have effect as references to the principles set out in—

- (a) Article 5(1) of the GDPR and the applied GDPR, and
- (b) sections 34(1) and 85(1) of this Act.

(6) References to direct marketing as defined in section 11 of the Data Protection Act 1998 have effect as references to direct marketing as defined in section 123 of this Act.

(7) References to a health professional within the meaning of section 69(1) of the Data Protection Act 1998 have effect as references to a health professional within the meaning of section 197 of this Act.

(8) References to a health record within the meaning of section 68(2) of the Data Protection Act 1998 have effect as references to a health record within the meaning of section 198 of this Act.

### PART 2

#### SUPPLEMENTARY

##### Definitions

204AW Section 3(14) does not apply to this Schedule.”

*This amendment makes consequential amendments to secondary legislation including to the Electronic Identification and Trust Services for Electronic Transactions Regulations 2016 (the EITSET Regulations) and to the Money Laundering, Terrorist Financing and Transfer of Funds (Information on the Payer) Regulations 2017. It also inserts two new Parts into Schedule 18. New Part 3 contains consequential modifications of provisions in certain legislation not amended by Parts 1 and 2 of Schedule 18. New Part 4 contains supplementary provision.—(Margot James.)*

*Schedule 18, as amended, ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

### Clause 205

#### COMMENCEMENT

*Amendments made:* 72, in clause 205, page 120, line 37, leave out paragraph (b)

*This amendment is consequential on the omission of Clauses 168 and 169 (see Amendments 60 and 61).*

*Amendment 225, in clause 205, page 121, line 4, at end insert—*

*“( ) Regulations under this section may make different provision for different areas.”*

*This amendment enables regulations under clause 205 bringing provisions of the bill into force to make different provision for different areas.—(Margot James.)*

*Clause 205, as amended, ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

*Clause 206 ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

### Clause 207

#### EXTENT

*Amendments made:* 73, in clause 207, page 121, line 12, after “(2)” insert “, (2A)”

*See the explanatory statement for Amendment 74.*

*Amendment 226, in clause 207, page 121, line 12, leave out “and (3)” and insert “, (3) and (3A)”*

*See the explanatory statement for amendment 227.*

*Amendment 74, in clause 207, page 121, line 14, at end insert—*

“(2A) Sections (Representation of data subjects with their authority: collective proceedings) and (Duty to review provision for representation of data subjects) extend to England and Wales and Northern Ireland only.”

*This amendment and Amendment 73 provide that NC1 and NC2 extend only to England and Wales and Northern Ireland.*

Amendment 227, in clause 207, page 121, line 15, after “extent” insert “in the United Kingdom”

*This amendment and amendments 226, 228 and 229 clarify that amendments of enactments made by the bill have the same extent in the United Kingdom as the enactment amended and that certain amendments also extend to the Isle of Man.*

Amendment 228, in clause 207, page 121, line 16, leave out “(ignoring extent by virtue of an Order in Council)”

*See the explanatory statement for amendment 227.*

Amendment 229, in clause 207, page 121, line 17, at end insert—

“(3A) This subsection and the following provisions also extend to the Isle of Man—

- (a) paragraphs 200N and 205 of Schedule 18;
- (b) sections 204(1), 205(1) and 206, so far as relating to those paragraphs.”

*See the explanatory statement for amendment 227. Paragraph 200N in amendment 222 amends the Competition Act 2006 (Extension of Takeover Panel Provisions) (Isle of Man) Order 2008.—(Margot James.)*

*Clause 207, as amended, ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

## Clause 208

### SHORT TITLE

*Amendment made: 75, in clause 208, page 121, line 24, leave out subsection (2)*

*This amendment removes the privilege amendment inserted by the Lords.—(Margot James.)*

*Clause 208, as amended, ordered to stand part of the Bill.*

## New Clause 1

### REPRESENTATION OF DATA SUBJECTS WITH THEIR AUTHORITY: COLLECTIVE PROCEEDINGS

“(1) The Secretary of State may by regulations make provision for representative bodies to bring proceedings before a court or tribunal in England and Wales or Northern Ireland combining two or more relevant claims.

(2) In this section, “relevant claim”, in relation to a representative body, means a claim in respect of a right of a data subject which the representative body is authorised to exercise on the data subject’s behalf under Article 80(1) of the GDPR or section 183.

(3) The power under subsection (1) includes power—

- (a) to make provision about the proceedings;
- (b) to confer functions on a person, including functions involving the exercise of a discretion;
- (c) to make different provision in relation to England and Wales and in relation to Northern Ireland.

(4) The provision mentioned in subsection (3)(a) includes provision about—

- (a) the effect of judgments and orders;
- (b) agreements to settle claims;
- (c) the assessment of the amount of compensation;
- (d) the persons to whom compensation may or must be paid, including compensation not claimed by the data subject;
- (e) costs.

(5) Regulations under this section are subject to the negative resolution procedure.”

*This new clause confers power on the Secretary of State to make regulations enabling representative bodies (defined in Clause 183) to bring collective proceedings in England and Wales or Northern Ireland combining two or more claims in respect of data subjects’ rights.—(Margot James.)*

*Brought up, read the First and Second time, and added to the Bill.*

## New Clause 2

### DUTY TO REVIEW PROVISION FOR REPRESENTATION OF DATA SUBJECTS

“(1) Before the end of the review period, the Secretary of State must—

- (a) review the matters listed in subsection (2) in relation to England and Wales and Northern Ireland,
- (b) prepare a report of the review, and
- (c) lay a copy of the report before Parliament.

(2) Those matters are—

- (a) the operation of Article 80(1) of the GDPR,
- (b) the operation of section 183,
- (c) the merits of exercising the power under Article 80(2) of the GDPR (power to enable a body or other organisation which meets the conditions in Article 80(1) of the GDPR to exercise some or all of a data subject’s rights under Articles 77, 78 and 79 of the GDPR without being authorised to do so by the data subject), and
- (d) the merits of making equivalent provision in relation to data subjects’ rights under Article 82 of the GDPR (right to compensation).

(3) “The review period” is the period of 30 months beginning when section 183 comes into force.

(4) After the report under subsection (1) is laid before Parliament, the Secretary of State may by regulations—

- (a) exercise the powers under Article 80(2) of the GDPR in relation to England and Wales and Northern Ireland, and
- (b) make provision enabling a body or other organisation which meets the conditions in Article 80(1) of the GDPR to exercise a data subject’s rights under Article 82 of the GDPR in England and Wales and Northern Ireland without being authorised to do so by the data subject.

(5) The powers under subsection (4) include power—

- (a) to make provision enabling a data subject to prevent a body or other organisation from exercising, or continuing to exercise, the data subject’s rights;
- (b) to make provision about proceedings before a court or tribunal where a body or organisation exercises a data subject’s rights,
- (c) to make provision for bodies or other organisations to bring proceedings before a court or tribunal combining two or more claims in respect of a right of a data subject;
- (d) to confer functions on a person, including functions involving the exercise of a discretion;
- (e) to amend sections 164 to 166, 177, 183, 196, 198 and 199;
- (f) to insert new sections and Schedules into Part 6 or 7;
- (g) to make different provision in relation to England and Wales and in relation to Northern Ireland.

(6) The provision mentioned in subsection (5)(b) and (c) includes provision about—

- (a) the effect of judgments and orders;
- (b) agreements to settle claims;
- (c) the assessment of the amount of compensation;

(d) the persons to whom compensation may or must be paid, including compensation not claimed by the data subject;

(e) costs.

(7) Regulations under this section are subject to the affirmative resolution procedure.”

*This new clause imposes a duty on the Secretary of State to review the operation of provisions enabling a representative body to exercise data subjects’ rights with their authority in England and Wales and Northern Ireland and to consider exercising powers under the GDPR to enable a representative body to exercise such rights there without being authorised to do so by the data subjects.—(Margot James.)*

*Brought up, read the First and Second time, and added to the Bill.*

### New Clause 5

#### BILL OF DATA RIGHTS IN THE DIGITAL ENVIRONMENT

Schedule [Bill of Data Rights in the Digital Environment] shall have effect.

*This new clause would introduce a Bill of Data Rights in the Digital Environment.—(Liam Byrne.)*

*Brought up, and read the First time.*

**Liam Byrne** (Birmingham, Hodge Hill) (Lab): I beg to move, That the clause be read a Second time.

**The Chair:** With this it will be convenient to discuss the following:

New clause 6—

“Bill of Data Rights in the Digital Environment (No. 2)

(1) The Secretary of State shall, by regulations, establish a Bill of Data Rights in the Digital Environment.

(2) Before making regulations under this section, the Secretary of State shall—

(a) consult—

(i) the Commissioner,

(ii) trade associations,

(iii) data subjects, and

(iv) persons who appear to the Commissioner or the Secretary of State to represent the interests of data subjects; and

(b) publish a draft of the Bill of Rights.

(3) The Bill of Data Rights in the Digital Environment shall enshrine—

(a) a right for a data subject to have privacy from commercial or personal intrusion,

(b) a right for a data subject to own, curate, move, revise or review their identity as founded upon personal data (whether directly or as a result of processing of that data),

(c) a right for a data subject to have their access to their data profiles or personal data protected, and

(d) a right for a data subject to object to any decision made solely on automated decision-making, including a decision relating to education and employment of the data subject.

(4) Regulations under this section are subject to the affirmative resolution procedure.”

*This new clause would empower the Secretary of State to introduce a Bill of Data Rights in the Digital Environment.*

### New Schedule 1

#### Bill of Data Rights in the Digital Environment

1 The UK recognises the following Data Rights:

##### Article 1 — Equality of Treatment

1 Every data subject has the right to fair and equal treatment in the processing of his or her personal data.

##### Article 2 — Security

1 Every data subject has the right to security and protection of their personal data and information systems.

Access requests by government must be for the purpose of combating serious crime and subject to independent authorisation.

##### Article 3 — Free Expression

1 Every data subject has the right to deploy his or her personal data in pursuit of their fundamental rights to freedom of expression, thought and conscience.

##### Article 4 — Equality of Access

1 Every data subject has the right to access and participate in the digital environment on equal terms.

Internet access should be open.

##### Article 5 — Privacy

1 Every data subject has right to respect for their personal data and information systems and as part of his or her fundamental right to private and family life, home and communications.

##### Article 6 — Ownership and Control

1 Every data subject is entitled to know the purpose for which personal data is being processed to exercise his or her right to ownership. Government, corporations and data controllers must obtain meaningful consent for use of people’s personal data.

Every data subject has the right to own and control his or her personal data.

Every data subject is entitled to proportionate share of income or other benefit derived from his or her personal data as part of the right to own.

##### Article 7 — Algorithms

1 Every data subject has the right to transparent and equal treatment in the processing of his or her personal data by an algorithm or automated system.

Every data subject is entitled to meaningful human control in making significant decisions – algorithms and automated systems must not be deployed to make significant decisions.

##### Article 8 — Participation

1 Every data subject has the right to deploy his or her personal data and information systems to communicate in pursuit of the fundamental right to freedom of association.

##### Article 9 — Protection

1 Every data subject has the right to safety and protection from harassment and other targeting through use of personal data whether sexual, social or commercial.

##### Article 10 — Removal

1 Every data subject is entitled to revise and remove their personal data.

##### Compensation

Breach of any right in this Bill will entitle the data subject to fair and equitable compensation under existing enforcement provisions. If none apply, the Centre for Data Ethics will establish and administer a compensation scheme to ensure just remedy for any breaches.

##### Application to Children

1 The application of these rights to a person less than 18 years of age must be read in conjunction with the rights set out in the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.

1 Where an information society service processes data of persons less than 18 years of age it must do so under the age appropriate design code.”

**Liam Byrne:** We now come to the good stuff. Members of the Committee can look forward to an enormous amount of ground to cover in the debates ahead. We will try to speed through it as quickly as we can, but there is an awful lot of ground to cover. New clauses 5 and 6 and new schedule 1, tabled in my name and that of my hon. Friends, are an attempt to provoke the Government into being more ambitious in their strategy for the digital world. Every so often, as a great nation, we make important declarations of rights.

[Liam Byrne]

Rights are important because they ensure that progress is democratised, but they also provide important new protections against new imbalances of power that arise. We really began to turn our minds to this about 803 years ago when we came up with Magna Carta. We then made a much more sweeping and important statement that received Royal Assent on 16 December 1689. We had a couple of centuries off and in more recent years we went rights crazy and started signing universal declarations in the years after the second world war with much greater speed. We had the universal declaration of human rights, in which British civil servants took a leading role; the UN convention on the rights of the child; the charter of fundamental rights, which we helped shape; and the incorporation of those regimes of rights, which we wrote for our neighbours, into British law through the Human Rights Act 1998 and the Equality Act 2010.

Over the years, the regime of rights that we have pioneered in this country has been absolutely fundamental to the progress that we have made as a nation. If we go back to the debates here in the 1630s and 1640s, we see that the rights of new entrepreneurs to defend the wealth that they had created through trading, particularly in the Atlantic colonies—examples include the Virginia Company and, later, the East India Company—and the rights that we sought to enshrine and protect against arbitrary taxation, were absolutely fundamental in laying the foundation for the industrial revolution that really began to take off in the years after the Bill of Rights was enshrined by William III in 1689.

The argument that I want to make this morning is that the sweeping changes of the digital age mean that it would be wise of us to consider a similarly ambitious set of rights for the digital age. Anyone who has an interest in economic history will know that, ultimately, we can never contract for anything. Ultimately, a handshake will always be as important as a contract, and a handshake relies on an environment of trust. When countries do not have environments of trust, they lack economic institutions that allow their economies to flourish.

The challenge in this country today is that we are not making quite as much progress with the digital economy as perhaps we could be. Indeed, in most international indexes, where we should be at the top, we are normally batting at about fifth and sixth. That is not terrible, but most of us would like it to be better. We are the home of the scientific revolution and the industrial revolution. We should be at the top of the table, not fifth and sixth.

That provokes us to ask what is the state of online trust and digital trust in this country. The figures that I have dug out are for the time before the scandals that we have learned about over the last couple of weeks, which will not have put trust levels up. Online fraud is now growing very quickly. In fact, Action Fraud says that 70% of all fraud is now cyber-enabled. That is not simply a commercial problem; it is also a public sector problem. Public services such as the NHS hold vast quantities of public data. The NHS has been hit very badly by malware in a way that has provoked real questions about the UK's digital resilience. The National Audit Office said that the NHS and the then Department of Health must "get their act together" or suffer far worse than the chaos of 2017. Edelman recently produced

a survey that said that one quarter of the UK population trusts social media and 61% trust traditional media, so there are huge imbalances in what people trust today.

I have been interested in this question for a while, and I have been interested in seeing what we can learn from some of the world's digital leaders. On a recent visit to Estonia, which is by some agreement the world's leading digital society, the thing that really struck me was the fact that digital trust is supremely high. The Government of Estonia took the big decision, when they left that north-west corner of the USSR, that they would have to take a big gamble on the future. As we leave the north-west corner of Europe, we need to be taking a similar big bet on the future. We need to be betting on digital in the way we bet on steam a couple of centuries ago.

Two things are absolutely key to the digital environment in Estonia. One is a platform called X-Road, which allows Government data from distributed databases to come together to answer particular kinds of problems, but absolutely fundamental is the public option of an e-ID scheme. That involves two-factor authentication and it comes with important features such as the ability for people to look online at who has been using their data, who has been accessing it, and what they have been using it for. In fact, doctors and police officers have gone to jail because they have misused their ability to access online records—medical records, for instance.

Anyone in this country who has tried to file their taxes online, as I did early in January, will know that the Government gateway here is nowhere near that level. Once I had been issued with my fifth online ID, I frankly gave up and rang the MPs' hotline, and the person there said, "Yeah, we've had lots of problems like this. You can just file your tax return on paper like everybody else." We are sadly lacking the kind of digital infrastructure that many other countries enjoy.

The point about the public option for electronic ID is that there is a country that has decided that the right to a secure ID is a fundamental right, and on that fundamental right has flourished a digital economy that has helped to create the world's leading digital society. There are now 3,000 Government e-services and 5,000 private sector e-services that sit on top of that platform. When I met the former Prime Minister of Estonia, he said that the key to winning the argument was that financial institutions such as banks were so confident in the public infrastructure that had been created that they were prepared to go out to the public in Estonia and say, "The public option for an electronic ID is the right option."

12.30 pm

Think about how many different IDs and passwords we have. Think about the complexity and the risk that creates. We have no idea who is using all that data. The point is that if we have a secure regime of trust, it will become possible for a private sector to flourish in a new way. That is why we think having a much more comprehensive bill of digital rights—or a bill of data rights, as we have had to call it to get it in scope—is compelling, and we would like the Government to consider it.

This is not just about the new freedoms that we might want guaranteed by these new rights. There is also the question of the new protections we might want from them.

**Matt Warman** (Boston and Skegness) (Con): I am enjoying the right hon. Gentleman's history lesson about Estonia.

**Liam Byrne:** There is lots more of it.

**Matt Warman:** I had that sense. The key thing about Estonia, aside from the fact that it is a far, far smaller country, is that the register for the digital ID that the right hon. Gentleman is talking about is held centrally by the Government. There is a fundamental difference between this country and Estonia. If he were seriously to propose to citizens in the UK that the Government should hold that central register, I think they would give him pretty short shrift. In his long lecture, will he either make the case for a Government-held central register or acknowledge that it would still be a pretty tough thing to get past the British public?

**Liam Byrne:** I am very happy to. I am lucky enough to be able to draw on my extensive experience as the Minister for ID cards in the Labour Government. I will take the hon. Gentleman, in detail, through the architecture I proposed. Well, he asked for it.

The challenge we confronted in about 2006 is that we originally proposed one big database for all the data, including biometric data. That was an error. The architecture I proposed in its stead was a way of connecting three different databases—one that would have basically held Driver and Vehicle Licensing Agency data, a second that would have held the passport services data, and then a couple of identifiers that would have allowed those two records to be indexed and joined together. That brought the cost of the ID card system down by about two thirds.

Although the hon. Member for Boston and Skegness says that the British public would not like Government databases to hold all that information, that happens to be the country they live in. The Passport Office and DVLA hold comprehensive data on most people, and people find that extremely useful.

I was very careful about what I said. What I said was not that we should have compulsory e-ID, but that we should have a public option so people can choose to use it. That is obviously a different regime from Estonia's, where ID cards have been compulsory since the country was invented about a century ago.

Giving people a public option would be quite attractive. There are, however, important safeguards that we need to learn from. It would be a mistake to have biometric information connected to that kind of service. We do not need biometric information connected to that kind of service. The ID card system in India has gone down that route, and it has suffered pretty significant leaks of biometric data over the past year and a half. If people get their hands on that data, that will be far more dangerous. The Estonian system, in which people have an electronic ID and a password that sits in their head—a two-factor authentication—has proven much more successful.

My broader point is that we should have a debate about the data rights that we, as citizens of this country, should have. Partly, that is about having rights to things that would make our lives better and would allow us to pursue new freedoms, such as the freedom not to have a million and one passwords, which we lose track of. It is

also about having certain protections. We have had a useful debate, and will have an even longer one shortly, about the right to be treated fairly by algorithms. That is obviously incredibly important. The Government have given a nod in that direction, so the Minister will probably say a little about their digital charter.

On the different sides of the House, there are different philosophies on rights. The Conservative party traditionally defends rights to do with negative freedoms, and my side often talks the language of positive freedoms—the power to do things, which we think is necessary for social justice. However, I hope that in the months ahead we can have a sensible conversation about what negative and positive freedoms we can crystallise and enshrine in a bill of digital rights. At some point in this century, we shall write that. It is inevitable, because the world will change in a way that requires it, and the citizens of this country will begin to demand it. What we are starting to debate today what will come to pass at some point. I hope to be the Minister who drives it through in the next Labour Government, which is imminent.

I hope, too, that we can debate that idea and help to perfect it. Where regimes of rights have been most effective, they have stood the test of time. For something to stand the test of time, it always helps if there is a little—not too much—cross-party consensus.

The new schedule has a couple of ideas at its core, and we are lucky in having been able to draw on not only the rights literature, but the incredible work of Baroness Kidron. As well as being a talented member of the creative industries, she has been one of the leading champions of the creation of strong digital rights for our children. As we have rehearsed in Committee previously, the issue is fundamental, not marginal. About a third of online users are children. The Government will have, in a way, to step in that direction. They will have to step towards new clauses 5 and 6, and new schedule 1, because they have committed to issuing an age-appropriate design code that will operationalise clause 124. I want to encourage the Government to think creatively about the way they will write the code of practice on age-appropriate design codes, with at least one eye on the broader bill of data and digital rights, which we want to propose.

The 5Rights movement has a couple of important ideas. One is the right to remove: children should be able to remove content that they have uploaded. There are probably members of the Committee who have posted all kinds of unfortunate content in their lives, which they might not want to have there in the future. That is certainly true of many children I know. The right to remove is, I think, widely accepted, and is reflected as one of the ambitions of the Bill.

The second right is the right to know. Children should be able to learn easily the who, what and why—and know for what purposes their data is being exchanged. That is important. The Minister herself has talked about the need to educate online users—to educate us all, so that we become better critical consumers of the content that we find online. That is doubly important for children.

The third right is the right to safety and support. Much of what upsets young people online is not illegal. It is legal. Support is often quite sparse and fragmented. It is often pretty invisible to children and young people when they need it most.

[Liam Byrne]

It will be challenging for the Government to turn the right to informed and conscious use into part of the code of practice, but that is incredibly important. It is simply unfortunate that social media firms spend quite so much money, effort and engineering talent on creating features that create a kind of addiction because of the rush of endorphins that they trigger in young people's minds.

Those technologies, techniques and tricks of the trade are based on exactly the same principles as casino slot machines, and it is quite telling that a number of social media leaders have, over the last six months, gone on the record to say that they will not let their children use the apps that millions of children around the world use. The right to informed and conscious use will be difficult for the Government to interpret, but it is none the less important.

The right to digital literacy is perhaps the most important of all. It is something that our schools already do a terrific job of putting into practice, but what struck me in Estonia is the way that people see the right to internet access as basically a social right. That is surely something that we should debate and put in practice, too.

We have had quite a collection of evidence over the last year from people such as the Children's Commissioner, who have ridden in behind and supported Baroness Kidron's 5Rights movement. The Children's Commissioner recently said:

"The social media giants have simply not done enough to make children aware of what they are signing up to when they install an app or open an account."

The idea that children can look at these pages and pages of terms and conditions and just click and agree to them is obviously nonsensical. Indeed, the Children's Commissioner, when reflecting on that, said:

"Children have absolutely no idea that they are giving away the right to privacy or the ownership of their data or the material they post online."

The Government have obviously sought to exercise their derogation under the GDPR and set the age of consent at 13, rather than 16, so the code of practice that the Minister has agreed to is really important.

We would like this bill of data rights to go alongside more effective mechanisms to ensure that those rights are enforceable. That is why we tabled our amendments to clause 80(2). We think it is impossible in today's economic environment for ordinary citizens to take effective action against the biggest firms on earth. These five firms have a market capitalisation, although it is slightly less than it was, of about \$2.5 trillion, so the idea that a humble citizen can take on some of these giants is nonsensical. We would therefore like this bill of data rights to sit alongside a much more effective, open and democratic form of class action.

I am really interested in the Minister's observations on the rights we have set out. Article 1 of our proposed new schedule covers equality of treatment, which is enshrined in the GDPR. The GDPR is long—we have made incredible progress through it, article by article—and it is a miracle that we have arrived at page 123 of the Bill by Thursday afternoon, but that is a real testament to the skilful chairing of Mr Hanson and you, Mr Streeter.

The principle of equality of treatment is written throughout every clause of the Bill. The point is that it is written through 200 clauses, so we think a basic statement of equality of treatment is a good place to start.

Article 2 covers the right to security, which is the subject of the Bill. Again, let us set that out in terms. Article 3 covers the right to free expression, which is something we have signed up to in articles of the European convention on human rights. It is something that we should set within the context of a bill of data rights. Article 4 covers the right of equality of access. Giving equal access to the digital environment is extremely important. The digital environment creates a network, and network effects mean that the more people joined to it, the greater the value of the network. It is important to specify, set out and declare that we see equality of access to the digital environment as important.

Article 5 sets out the right to privacy, which, again, is scattered throughout the Bill, although we would like to consolidate and crystallise it and bring it together. Article 6 covers ownership and control, which will only grow in importance. This is not the place to get into the vexed debate about who owns the copyright to the data that someone might have and the new data that might be created by joining that data with someone else's. However, the question of who owns the copyright, and therefore who owns the value of data that is personal in origin, is only going to grow. That debate is almost the 21st century equivalent to that on the enclosure of the commons, frankly. Who owns the copyright of data will become more important as the value of data grows exponentially.

Article 7 talks about the right to fairness when it comes to automated decision making, which we will come to in the debate on algorithmic fairness. Algorithms are making more and more decisions in our lives. People have a right not to be treated unfairly as a result of those decisions. In the phrase used by my hon. Friend the Member for Cambridge, we cannot have a world in which yesterday's injustice is hard-coded into tomorrow's injustice. We think that ensuring a right to algorithmic fairness in our bill of data rights is important. The rights to participation, protection and removal are important too.

We have a long tradition of rights in this country; we are the world's pioneers of them. It is because we have been that pioneer down the centuries that we are today the world's fifth-biggest economy, but we are not the world's leading digital society. It is an ambition of the Opposition that we should be, and we think that a bill of digital rights would help us to get there.

12.45 pm

**Darren Jones** (Bristol North West) (Lab): I welcome new schedule 1, in the name of my right hon. Friend the Member for Birmingham, Hodge Hill and my hon. Friends the Members for Ogmore and for Sheffield, Heeley. I should declare that I was first on Facebook as a 19-year-old. Now, as a 31-year-old, I can declare that I do not think there is anything on there that I am embarrassed of.

**Gareth Snell** (Stoke-on-Trent Central) (Lab/Co-op): Lucky you.

**Darren Jones**: I reserve the right for other hon. Friends to remove content from their social media.

I wanted to refer to the issue of data ownership. When we think of the world in terms of things that we own, there are legal bases for that ownership. We have a legal right to the houses that we buy, once the mortgage has been paid off, and we have a legal right to the clothes that we buy. However, we have no legal right to the ownership of the data about us or the data that we generate. In the context of people making money off the back of it, that feels fundamentally incorrect.

Even the language that we use suggests that the relationship is not balanced. The idea that Facebook is my data controller, and that I am merely its data subject, suggests that the tone of the conversation is incorrect. I support the fundamental principle of ownership, because I think that we need to have a much more fundamental debate about who owns this stuff. Why are people making money off the back of it? If they do things with our property that is against the law, or that incurs us a loss, we should have the right to enforce that principle.

We have seen that not just in the context of the personal data that we might create about the things we like to buy or the TV programmes we like to watch. Sir John Bell, in the report “Life sciences: industrial strategy”, talked about the value of NHS data. We are in a unique position in the world, because of our socialist healthcare system, where we have data for individuals in a large population across many years. That is extremely valuable to organisations and others. We on the Science and Technology Committee are doing reports at the moment on genomics data in the health service and on the regulation of algorithms. I recommend those reports, when they are published, to Members of the Bill Committee.

We need to try to avoid allowing, for example, health companies—I will not name any particular ones—to come into this country, access the data of NHS patients, build and train algorithms, and then take those algorithms to other parts of the world and make enormous profits off the back of them. But for the data that belongs to the British people, those businesses would not be able to make those profits.

**Colin Clark (Gordon) (Con):** I am trying to follow the hon. Gentleman’s train of thought. As I understand it, we have the largest digital economy in the G20—it is 12.4% of our GDP. He and the right hon. Member for Birmingham, Hodge Hill have experience of the industry. You do want to promote technology, as opposed to putting a thumb on it, don’t you?

**The Chair:** I don’t, but I am sure that the hon. Gentleman does. Well, I do actually.

**Darren Jones:** Then you agree with hon. Members on both sides of the Committee, Mr Streeter. Of course we do, but as we have seen this week with the Cambridge Analytica scandal, rules must be set, and there must be a balance between allowing innovation to flourish and people’s rights not to be harmed in the process.

**Margot James:** Quite. That is the basis of the Bill.

**Darren Jones:** I agree—that is why I welcome the Bill. I am saying that we ought to go further, which is why I support the new schedule, and having conversations about ownership.

Returning to the issue of health data, I have personal views about how we might tax revenues from platforms in a better way. I welcome the comments made by the Chancellor of the Exchequer, in line with his counterparts in Europe, about looking at how we tax revenues where they are made, not where the company is headquartered. That is a positive move, but surely if all this NHS data is creating profits for other companies and organisations, we can create a situation in which patients also benefit from that, by sharing in the profits that are made and by seeing value redirected into the health service.

All that becomes anchored in the question of ownership. There is still this legal space that says that data subjects do not own their own data. We need a much broader debate on that. *[Interruption.]* Members are shaking their heads. I am happy to take interventions, if Members would like.

**Liam Byrne:** Will my hon. Friend reflect on the idea that if someone is genuinely a popular capitalist and believes in the distribution of wealth as the basis of economic growth, then recognising and crystallising the value of personal data is actually pro-growth?

**Darren Jones:** I agree entirely. I confess I never got all the way through my version of Piketty, but the idea of value through assets, as opposed to through the stagnating wages in our economy today, plays into this conversation around data. People from poorer backgrounds may not inherit houses or land, but they create their own data every day. It is an asset that should belong to them. They should be able to share in its value when companies around the world are making enormous profits off the back of it. In this digital age, there is a huge call for equality of opportunity and equality of access. We need to try to get those right in these fundamental understandings of the digital market and the rights that exist around it.

Lastly, I encourage and strengthen my right hon. Friend’s arguments on the application of these principles to children. The Committee has already debated how parental consent is not needed after the age of 13. One of my early jobs as legal counsel at BT was the dubious task of consolidating terms and conditions. Hon. Members who are no doubt happy customers of BT, with perhaps broadband, TV and sport, would originally have had to read five or six different documents that were very long and complicated. I had to consolidate those. That was not good enough, so I commissioned a YouTube star to do a video, which can be seen on the terms and conditions page, to try to explain some of these things. Even for adults, this was a really hard and laborious task.

I am not saying that it is for Government to tell businesses how to communicate to children. Second Reading and some of the Committee’s debates show—dare I say it—that we are probably not best placed to have those conversations. However, it is really important that there is an expectation on businesses that they take steps to ensure that children are properly engaged and really understand what they are signing up to, especially as the Government have opted to go to the minimum range for consent, going to 13.

I just wanted to re-emphasise the debate on ownership and on children. I support my right hon. Friend’s new schedule and new clauses, and I hope the Government will support them.

**Margot James:** My response will encompass our digital charter, as the right hon. Member for Birmingham, Hodge Hill mentioned, and I will also answer some of the points he made in his interesting exposition of his rights-based approach. I agree with him: the internet is a powerful force for good, serving humanity and spreading ideas, freedom and opportunity across the world. Yet, as he rightly states, there are considerable trust issues, which can have only worsened in recent days.

I would like to emphasise the point made by my hon. Friend the Member for Gordon that the UK has a strong digital economy accounting for over 12.5% of GDP, which makes us the leading digital economy in the G20.

The right hon. Gentleman was critical of Government sites and services, but we have developed a system that is being taken up by several other countries, including New Zealand, which are adopting our approach to providing Government services online. I am sorry that his experience on the tax side was not great, and there are always exceptions, but on the whole we are leaders in the provision of Government services online.

Citizens rightly want to know that they will be safe and secure online. Tackling these challenges in an effective and responsible way is absolutely critical. The digital charter is our response. It is a rolling programme of work to agree norms and rules for the online world and to put them into practice. In some cases, that will be through shifting expectations of behaviour and resetting a settlement with internet companies. In some cases, we will need to agree completely new standards; in others, we will want to update our laws and regulations. Our starting point is that we expect the same rights and behaviour online as we do offline, with the same ease of enforcement.

The charter's core purpose is to make the internet work for everyone—for citizens, businesses and society as a whole—and it is based on liberal values. Every country is grappling with these challenges. The right hon. Gentleman suggested last week that the Government are not averse to making declaratory statements of rights and interpreting them into law, but his key example related to human rights. The Human Rights Act provides a detailed and well-considered legislative framework for those rights and ensures that they are meaningful.

**Liam Byrne:** When the right hon. Member for Surrey Heath (Michael Gove), who is now the Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, was Secretary of State at the Ministry of Justice, he launched a consultation about an English Bill of Rights, which was about not simply about human rights but a much broader set of rights. I do not think there is a big difference in our approaches to rights. Actually, I think there is a shared approach, as has been recognised down the years.

**Margot James:** Yes, much of our approach is shared. The Government decided not to proceed with that Bill of Rights, but the right hon. Gentleman rightly points out that both our parties have a keen interest in this area. However, to set out his proposed bill of data rights

in primary legislation would cut across the GDPR. It would impose its own rights of rectification and erasure, its own notion of control and its own obligations on controllers to keep data secure, but, of course, the GDPR already does that, and comparable rights are provided for in the Bill. I am concerned about how the Commission would react to such an attempt to redefine data protection standards. That is one of our main concerns with his new clauses and new schedule, no matter how much we might agree with the sentiments behind them. Given that, and the fact that we are proceeding with our digital charter, I feel that the Bill, in essence, covers this issue, and I need say no more about it.

**Liam Byrne:** Our proposed bill of data rights seeks not to redefine but to enshrine, so the rights reflected in the GDPR are no more than enshrined in it. The point is that it would go over and above the rights and obligations set out in this Bill. The right of equal access to the internet, the crystallisation of the right to expression and the advancement of the debate about the right to data ownership are important provisions whose time will come. At some point, due to the way the world is changing, our citizens and constituents will begin to demand both a democratisation of the privileges of this new age and of progress, and the right to effective defences and new protections.

I am glad that the Minister agrees with the sentiment behind the new clause, and I recognise that she perhaps does not see this Bill as the place to consolidate our brilliant ideas into the law of the land. I listened with interest to what she said about a rolling programme of ideas in the digital charter. There is a challenge with that approach: it will end up following the cones hotline model of public service reform. It will not live or sing; it will be bedevilled by voluntary codes, bureaucracy and operational procedures, and it will end up not really making a difference to the world. Our bill of data rights is clear.

If rights are to be a reality, they need not to be a mystery but to be understood. They need to be something that people can talk about in a pub. They need to be something not that is set out in 250 pages of primary legislation but that can be set out on the back of a fag packet. In our bill of data rights, we set out a clear agenda that would make a difference and be easily understood and enforced. It would be an improvement and would take forward the rights and liberties of the citizens of this country.

**The Chair:** Does the right hon. Gentleman wish to press the new clause to a vote?

**Liam Byrne:** No. I beg to ask leave to withdraw the clause.

*Clause, by leave, withdrawn.*

*Ordered,* That further consideration be now adjourned.—(Nigel Adams.)

1 pm

*Adjourned till this day at Two o'clock.*